




CONTACT

THE PHOENIX EDUCATOR: PHASE II

—A LIGHT IN EVERY MIND—

IT'S TIME TO GROW INTO YOUR INHERITANCE!



VOLUME 58 NUMBER 7

A NEWS REVIEW

\$3.00

JANUARY 11, 2012

KILLERS OF WOMEN AND CHILDREN

From: JNL 227, *RISE OF THE ANTICHRIST*, Vol. I

REC #1 HATONN

SAT., JAN. 31, 1998

RISE OF ANTICHRIST

PART ONE

KILLERS OF WOMEN AND CHILDREN!

Saddam Hussein? Oh no, sleeping babies—YOU! YOU are going to murder hundreds of thousands of helpless men, women and children of Iraq and you sit with the morning crowd at the coliseum waiting for the gladiators.

I hope you took a real long, hard look, Black Brothers, at the young Sergeant brought to “State of the Union” to decorate Clinton’s show and tell. For indeed, your gladiators in the military know, bullet catchers are mostly Black, just as are your sports gladiators entertaining the bored and restless. Now you take a whole different role, don’t you?

The top-guns will roll off the runways and carriers with death and destruction (you know, in those WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION), and over some barrels

of oil which the Elite HAVE THIEVED, “YOU” WILL BOMB TO HEAVEN INNOCENT PEOPLE WHO WOULD ACTUALLY GIVE THEIR VERY LIVES FOR YOU. These people receiving those bombs are NOT GOING TO HELL, my friends, THEY ARE GOING TO HEAVEN; BUT, I ALSO KNOW WHERE A BUNCH OF YOU ARE HEADED! I can watch and only wonder how many of you will think you have died and gone to paradise with the thugs and criminals of the physical world who are as dead between their ears and in the seat of life as ever.

Jew Madeleine Albright, Secretary of State, is swimming all over Europe trying to get someone else to join her lust for BLOOD. How many will hold out in the name of what is right? It is so important because these things that happen NOW ARE TO TELL THE BIG BAD WOLVES JUST HOW FAR THEY DARE GO. And further, what is the purpose?

Well, UNILATERALLY IF NECESSARY, goes the threat, the U.S. will do the job of blowing Iraq off the map. Perhaps this has its own symbology for Sumaria is in Iraq, as is Babylon. I guess some day the writers will say a bunch of Godly men marched around the cities until the walls fell down and all the enemies were dropping dead before the Godly?

No, YOU are a breed apart and you have become the mongrel hounds of Hell, citizens. Somebody tell me what Saddam has ACTUALLY DONE? He DID NOT kill off the northern Iraq people with chemicals, but you have, all around the country, some even in your overseas manufacturing facilities that blew up around the workers.

And why do you want support? Oh, this one is even better: “We would otherwise appear to have killed a lot of people and would lose our image as humanitarians...” rattle on and on the instigators of chaos. My goodness, chelas, you can’t bomb homes, hospitals, and playgrounds without hurting whoever happens to be in them. Perhaps you can blow away the whole of Iraq and without loss of even one pilot. Big deal? “No losses!” The report will read and YOU WILL ACCEPT IT AS BEING NUMBER ONE ON SUPERBOWL SUNDAY. You ARE number one in almost everything immoral and despicable the epitome of example of Satanic mind-set.

But, if it is wrong, won’t GOD intervene? NO. GOD will wait to see how bad you can really get. You have let this happen and you will be held responsible.

Now comes forward Jew Cohen and says the job must

CONTACT
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
BAKERSFIELD, CA
PERMIT NO. 758

FIRST CLASS MAIL

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE

The Way to Occupy a Bank is to Own One	4
Detention Camp Order Follows Preparations for Civil Unrest	8
R.I.P. Bill of Rights 1789 - 2011	10
The News Desk	12
Subscription Rates	15
Quote by Benjamin Franklin	16

be done and done immediately (you know, that killing of Israel's enemies). Haven't any of you noticed that you have the most disgusting bunch of alien slime ever to grace your globe, running your globe? The Alien Serpent People have arrived long ago and you never even noticed while you wait for an invasion of grasshoppers from Mars. Sorry, if you get grasshoppers it will be directly from genetic/DNA alterations at places like Area 51 in Nevada, lizard relatives from Northrop, and right off the drawing boards of Zionists like Spielberg. From the dwarfs with oversized brains and egos come your alien factions. All this while you watch the confusion over a President's "maybe" indiscretions.

What exactly did you think Antichrist would be? You have been told since the beginning of life forms as you know them to be, and like the lap-dog of the Master Executioner, you lick the hands, feet, and other parts of the BEAST!

These usurpers COME BEFORE YOU AND TELL YOU EXACTLY WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO—and YOU ATTEND a possible screw-up in Washington, the capital of screwupping.

Let me repeat: Your Secretary of State, an Ex-President, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Treasury and other top-level killers and criminals are going to move you unilaterally into a WORLD WAR of such devastating proportion that obviously you cannot even imagine it if all you can do is sit and stare at a box to see what new garbage comes out of who? A little Jewish Girl from Beverly Hills. Who, by the way, worked her way up the ladder into the Pentagon where she was in a position where every secret of the Pentagon was at her disposal. Not bad for a little lizard girl who wanted fame and power.

Do nations actually FALL because of such antics? Indeed, the whole world folded under this one. Surely enough and right on cue the great gods of the sky are going to RAPTURE millions within the next few months, will you be among them? If you are waiting for some Godly rapture, I hope you ARE among them. You are of a primitive society of human replicas that have learned nothing of wisdom in your classrooms and followed the criminals and buffoons. You have surely graduated into HELL. I cannot be objective about these things, for you, the very people of perfect Creation, are violent, blood-sucking killers. If I am to be proud, and assist YOU WHO ALLOW THIS, EVEN IF NOT ACTUALLY PARTICIPATING—FORGET IT, MY FRIENDS. God will first attend the souls of the "underdog", good buddies. By the way, DO YOU HAVE YOUR TICKET IN HAND TO THE LUXURY CLUB INTERNATIONAL IN AUSTRALIA? NO? OOPS!

All for a New World Order you work and work and play. For your own enslavement you pay and pay and play. GOD will NOT preserve you from your enforced ignorance.

This will be:

[QUOTING, *ANTICHRIST*, Coughlin (Circa 1970):]

ESSAY ONE

ONE WORLD UNION WITH

OR WITHOUT GOD

Human efforts to unify the nations of the world under one flag, one faith, one constitution, one court, and one code of morals have failed and will continue to fail because man, by himself, is impotent to purge his veins of the blood of Cain. In His mission to save mankind there was no dogma more forcefully stressed by Christ than was Unity. If, in the beginning, men were fashioned to live in a free world under a common code of morals and a common inclination to adore God, they were also infused with a common love for one another, all of which was shattered by Cain's crime. To restore the ideal Christ, the Saviour, demanded the revival of love. This was His formula, namely, to love one another, to regard every human being as a brother to be served, as a freeman to be respected, as a son of God to be revered.

Christ also enunciated two basic social principles, namely (1) "Whatsoever you do to the least of My little ones you do unto Me." And (2) "Seek ye first the Kingdom of God and His Justice and all things will be added unto you." On these principles Christ's entire edifice of political economy was erected and upon this foundation depends the structure of peace and prosperity throughout the entire world. Without the acceptance of these principles there can be no unity.

On the contrary, both the architects of Communism and the United Nations depend on the false theory that man can perfect himself. Thus their instrumentalities to acquire peace and prosperity are humanistic. God does not enter into their **calculations**.

[H: To save editors some time: "christian" will be spelled with a small "c" because it is not a name, it is a state of being and should not be capitalized. This author has done this correctly and where he wishes to capitalize the term we will be most careful. "C"ommunism will likely be capitalized as will any "P"arty, but communism IS NOT Communism, etc.]

As affairs stand (1970-2000) the contest is between Communism and christianity. ***Democracy, as we know it, has degenerated below the level of atheistic Marxism.*** In fact the contest in which Moscow and Washington are now engaged is a contest between two atheistic states, one admittedly so, and the other hypocritically so; one definitely opposed to God and religion, the other clumsily tolerating both God and the Church.

In the following essay more stress will be placed upon a little known American organization which goes under the title of Council on Foreign Relations (the C.F.R.) which the reader will learn *is the spearhead for this nation's latest effort to unite the world.*

Meanwhile, it poses a challenging problem to christians because of the confrontation of their faith with the philosophies and atheistic tendencies of the

C.F.R. on whose committee serve such gentlemen as the Very Rev. Theodore Hesburgh of Notre Dame University.

On most items of faith and morals, christianity and the C.F.R. stand opposed. To support it and its projects, one must condone unjust taxation, spurious racism, atheism in the schools, legalized abortion in the hospitals, and the acceptance of a materialistic concept of life. This, then, is the hour of truth and decision both for christians and their leaders.

This and the following essays will indicate a few highlights of Satanism and the Antichrist.

If on no other basis than the Christly concept of political economy enunciated in the "Seek ye first the Kingdom of God and His Justice ..." the uncomfortable adverb "first" leaves no choice to determine what should be first.

Orthodox christian theologians and Fathers of the Church insist upon the preeminence of Faith, Hope and Charity without which it is impossible to construct the intellectual edifice of christianity. And it was Christ, Himself, who stressed the necessity of receiving the sacraments in order to weld the links of the chain which unites His followers into an invisible, mystical union both amongst themselves and to Him; for there can be no practical amalgamation of individuals without their being identified mystically with their Saviour. This goes beyond the thought which reads, "In Him we live, move and have our being." It is more closely associated with the concept that "He who eateth My Flesh and drinketh My Blood abideth in Me and I in Him;" and with a second concept which reads, "He who heareth you, one heareth Me." All in all, the union which Christ has in mind is which unites all christians in one body; and this body—the Mystical Body of Christ—is united with the Redeemer in matters which transcend the body. I mean the intellectual union which is related to God through the voice of His Vicar, the Pope. **[H: Please keep in mind that Rev. Coughlin was a Catholic Father so he can only relate to that which he had experienced and come to realize/know. Let's not be hard on the man since look at us and see that it is until NOW that you knew NOTHING of TRUTH.]**

To write of this double union, one of the body and the other of mind, is not only difficult for christians to understand but is objectionable to non-christians. In fact, it is odious to them.

Nevertheless, this dual union supplies the foundation for the common code of morals, a common credo of faith and a common brotherhood.

For Catholic Christians **[H:"C" is suitable.]**, therefore, it is not difficult to accept the One-ness which is demanded of them. And for non-christians who reject Christ and the total idea of His being the head of the human family, both physically and intellectually, this type of union is so obnoxious that Christ remarked His Church "will be hated by all nations". That is an inevitability which christians cannot escape.

We pause to ask ourselves whose world is this when out of its 3-billion population fewer than 500-million are nominal Catholics; and as the years

rapidly go by, there will be fewer? God still owns the universe and the Earth and all it contains *but Satan possesses the Earth and will possess more and more of its population between now and the year 2000.*

It is required of us to distinguish between ownership and possession. As owner of the universe it has been God's plan to have it united. As the possessor of the Earth and its inhabitants, it is Satan's plan to have it divided. **[H: Like everything presented by "Satan" from name-thieving to illusion—you will not be getting what it appears to be. The very label "New, World Order" or "One" world order indicates unity—but it is total division!]**

The Earth is held captive as are its inhabitants by the Prince of this world as was predicted by God, Himself.

But eventually the owner will oust the unjust possessor. There will be a **SECOND COMING OF CHRIST: THERE WILL BE TOTAL VICTORY FOR CHRIST.** **[H:!!!!]**

This, then, is the contest, namely, between the christian church and its antagonists who are classed under the one common denominator of those who oppose Christ and His program of unification with God under a supreme Vicar, the Pope. **[H: You will note that the MEANING of "catholic" means "universal" so don't get very hung-up on thinking Holy Roman "Catholic".]**

Unfortunately, this is the low ebb of the tide of the Church Militant. This is the sixth stage in its era of evolution and in its encounters with Satan. To my mind these are the six:

- (1) Arianism in the fourth century which denied the divinity of Christ.
- (2) Pelagianism in the sixth century which denied original sin and the need of God's grace to accomplish salvation.
- (3) Mohammedanism in the seventh century, which was an outgrowth of both Arianism and Pelagianism; and which advertised the materialist concept of life.
- (4) Lutheranism in the sixteenth century which taught justification by faith only and the right of private interpretation of Scripture and private judgment in the matter of morals.
- (5) Marxism in the nineteenth century which advocated total atheism and materialism.
- (6) Modernism in the twentieth century which legalized and sanctified crime and abortion, thereby reaching the apex of antireligious evolution which, for the time being, is called ***EVILISM.***

The Catholic hierarchy is bewildered. This is judged by the rapidity with which events have happened since 1968; and this bewilderment will be handled at more length in following essays.

[END OF QUOTING]

Is it not painful to realize that people in very responsible positions first had truth and then truth became a shadow while the myth became the ongoing story then, finally, the myth fabrication itself became totally accepted as TRUTH?

Note that the myth was begun and continually perpetuated through the very ones, the Pharisees, whom the Great Teacher said were "of your Fathers who are liars and thieves from the BEGINNING". Sorry about that, readers, I didn't make the myth, I am simply trying to show you the absurdity of it.

If a writer goes forth and claims Dharma's name and my input, there will be a long haul where nobody knows the difference—EXCEPT FROM THE WRITINGS THEMSELVES. This is as an example in the *Associated Press* at the arranging of Green Abbott: "[Doris] claims to be Jesus Christ." Say what? If you have read ten things we have presented it would be instantly clear that the TALE COULD NOT BE TRUTH. Neither she nor I would EVER claim such a LIE. But how do you battle this kind of adversary with a whole system behind him? ***YOU TELL THE TRUTH.*** AS MANY TIMES AS "THEY" TELL THE LIE—YOU TELL THE TRUTH. IF, FURTHER, YOU HAVE A NETWORK—YOU TELL IT TO ALL YOU CAN REACH. ONLY KNOWLEDGE WILL ALLOW YOU TO RECLAIM THAT WHICH IS YOURS—GOD!

It is a very, very difficult time for, again as example, Dharma, who wants NO ATTENTION, AND, I MUST HAVE GREAT PUBLICITY IF WE ARE TO BE HEARD. WE CERTAINLY "CAN" HAVE BOTH IF YOU WILL BE CALLING HER DHARMA SO THAT ONCE CREDENTIALS ARE EXPRESSED AS TO PARTY AND ADVERSARY, WE DON'T HAVE TO SPEAK OF IT AGAIN. THIS WAS PROMISED TO HER IN THE BEGINNING OF OUR WORK. I TOLD HER THAT SHE WOULD GO THROUGH SOME BAD TIMES WHERE EVEN IN HER LOCAL COMMUNITY SHE WOULD BE SMASHED AND DENIED AND THEN WE WOULD WALK IN THE SUNSHINE FOR THE REMAINDER OF OUR JOURNEY. AH, WE GET A GLIMPSE OF THAT WONDERFUL SUN PEEPING THROUGH! SO MANY OF YOU OUT THERE HAVE WALKED EVERY STEP OF THE WAY WITH US—***EVERY ONE.*** Gratitude is insufficient in its encompassing meaning—but it becomes very clear who IS OUR FAMILY.

On the yesterday came a box, now almost a regular happening, to Dharma and Oberli—from M.G. This person has literally WOVEN and spun cloth and then sewed garments for Dharma over the years that are priceless in meaning to this typist. J.B. literally sent angels and diamonds for "MY" cause and use. Oh indeed, there are people who care beyond your wildest imaginings. From Arizona will come a box of books, from Virginia, Pennsylvania and Paris, France will come boxes of books for confirmation and support. From Texas come writers and some who are far more informed than we. These are also the ones who give us credibility and testimony in the courts under the worst of thieving circumstances. GOD WILL SEE US THROUGH, PROPERLY, IN PERFECT SEQUENCE

AND IN SAFETY AND SECURITY—AS LONG AS WE SERVE IN HONEST HONOR AND INTENT. DO YOU ACTUALLY THINK THAT GOD WOULD FORSAKE A CHILD ON DEATH ROW IN TEXAS—BECAUSE SHE NEVER HAD A CHANCE TO HEAR THE DIFFERENCE IN JESUS AND ESU? DON'T BE SO NARROW, STUDENTS. WHAT IS IN A NAME IS NOT WHAT IS IMPORTANT—THE ENERGY IDENTIFICATION IS THE TELLING TRUTH. You can call me Ray, or Bob—Dick or Harry and I AM ATON, servant unto and within ADONAI-GOD. **YOU CANNOT CHANGE THAT FACT ANY MORE THAN I WOULD CHANGE THE COLOR OF YOUR SKIN HAIR OR EYES.** A BLACK MAN CANNOT GET AWAY WITH CALLING HIMSELF WHITE—BUT REMEMBER THIS: NEITHER CAN A PALE-PINK MAN WHO PRETENDS HE IS "WHITE".

Esu doesn't object to being called "Jesus" if the intent be toward Christ being. The SOUL ENERGY responds, not by a label or tag.

When you all get this down straight and clear, we have a real opportunity to go for the goal. As we go along here the TRUTH outshines the lies, EVERY TIME, no matter where and to whom the lies were told. I recall that in a sworn-under-oath affidavit Ence said that Ekkers were packed and ready to run to Mexico or South America—"Their things are packed in the crawl-space under their house." My, and just HOW would Ence know ANYTHING about Ekkers unless he truly did come in and remove some funds from the office area—in an amount only he seemed to know and "tell on himself".

Will these things ever come forth in the courts? Does it matter, readers? YOU know and therefore it is only obvious that GOD KNOWS and is this not enough to totally devastate a man who lies, cheats, steals and vandalizes?

Can a practicing *Coven Witch* come and move in with one of our neighbors and friends and FOOL HIM? Of course she could and did pull him COMPLETELY from HIS PATH IN TRUTH. Now, she is gone, the man devastated, the funds spent on her and lawyers—while the whine and cry is, "They did it to me." Sad? Despicable is a better term—but TYPICAL. Follow the gold and you follow, usually, Satan. Give a gift, or make a loan of currency, to God for use and then demand it back with interest in GOLD and you have blundered pretty badly; I don't care who gave you the ill-advice. It is you who take and act on the input, so blame whomever you wish—but TRUTH WILL OUT! ***IF A LAWYER FROM THE "CLUB" IS GIVING YOU ADVICE WHILE YOU PAY FOR IT—I WOULD START MY CAMPAIGN OF RECLAMATION RIGHT THERE?*** Any person (IS NOT A "MAN") who would cheat, steal, and lie even unto his CLIENTS is not just a criminal—he is a buffoon fool. The only greater buffoon fool is the one who lets him do it. And when you participate in spreading the lies about other parties—internationally through the media—YOU HAVE REALLY BLUNDERED FOR THE MEDIA PEOPLE HAVE TO STAND RESPONSIBLE FOR THE LIES YOU FED THEM. ENCE/ENZ ACTUALLY WENT BEFORE THE

EXTRA CAMERAS IN BLACKOUT (FOOLING NOT ONE VIEWER) AND STATED STUPID THINGS LIKE ARSENALS AND AMMUNITION STASHES AND OTHER TOTAL FABRICATIONS AND THEN STATED HE FEARED FOR HIS LIFE. PERHAPS *HE SHOULD!* HE WAS PLAYING WITH A COUPLE OF ELDERLY PEOPLE WHO FIT NONE OF HIS DESCRIPTION—BUT HE WAS LYING AND CAUSING A MAJOR MEDIA GIANT TO LIE—INTERNATIONALLY. GET ON WITH THIS, TEAM. YOU ARE RUNNING OUT OF TIME. *EXTRA* WANTS TO “GET THE BASTARDS” AND PERHAPS SINCE THEY HAVE NOT LEARNED A DAMNED THING OTHERWISE—IT IS TIME TO OFFER RELEASE TO THE OTHER DAMAGED PARTIES. THESE PROGRAMS HAVE A DIFFICULT TIME AT BEST TO GET TRUTH AND AVOID THE PITFALLS OF LIARS AND CHEATS—SO THEY DESERVE OPPORTUNITY TO ACT AND THEY CAN’T UNTIL **YOU DO, OR GIVE THEM PERMISSION.**

I have to note to you readers that the described “*Palatial Compound owned by the Ekkers and where they hole-up...*” “is a lovely RENTED house without so much as a fence on ANY SIDE. The “Compound” is a lovely Mediterranean home that was TAKEN BY THE SAVINGS AND LOAN AND INSTIGATED IN THE TAKING BY JASON BRENT AND, YES, GEORGE GREEN WHO BACKS THE STORIES TOLD BY THE LIARS OF THE BAR. It has wildflowers in the Spring, violets and marigolds here and there—but not even a fence. The wildlife is welcomed for a drink and the birds panhandle—nothing more. It was claimed that Dharma heads a UFO cult who advocates MASS SUICIDE to coincide with the Heaven’s Gate bunch. She is also presented as THE anti-Semitic party and writer of the century. So be it. Yes, it IS time to allow *Extra* to claim their honor again. We have had not one inkling of apology, only more trashing from the trashers. Well, we’ll see who ends on the garbage heap.

If you can’t CLEAN UP your own doorsteps, my friends, how can you expect to bring anything to a deceived people? When you get to cleaning, we will get to “giving”. Does everybody understand me? Does ANYBODY understand me? Ah, and so be it, ye of little faith—is not God great enough to see you through? Adonai.

The Way to Occupy a Bank is to Own One

by Ellen Brown
Global Research
December 16, 2011

Web of Debt

The campaign to “move your money” has gotten a groundswell of support. Having greater impact would be to “move our money”—move our local government revenues out of Wall Street banks into our own publicly-owned banks.

Occupy Wall Street has been both criticized and applauded for not endorsing any official platform. But there are unofficial platforms, including one titled the 99% Declaration which calls for a “National General

Assembly” to convene on July 4, 2012 in Philadelphia. The 99% Declaration seeks everything from reining in the corporate state to ending the Fed to eliminating censorship of the Internet. But none of these demands seems to go to the heart of what prompted Occupiers to camp out on Wall Street in the first place—a corrupt banking system that serves the 1% at the expense of the 99%. To redress that, we need a banking system that serves the 99%.

Occupy San Francisco has now endorsed a plan aimed at doing just that. In a December 1 *Wall Street Journal* article titled “Occupy Shocker: A Realistic, Actionable Idea,” David Weidner writes:

[P]rotesters in the Bay Area, especially Occupy San Francisco, have something their East Coast neighbors don’t: a realistic plan aimed at the heart of banks. The idea could be expanded nationwide to send a message to a compromised Washington and the financial industry.

It’s called a municipal bank. Simply put, it would transfer the City of San Francisco’s bank accounts—about \$2 billion now spread between such banks as Bank of America Corp., UnionBanCal Corp. and Wells Fargo & Co.—into a public bank. That bank would use small local banks to lend to the community.

The public bank concept is not new. It has been proposed before in San Francisco and has a successful 90-year track record in North Dakota. Weidner notes that the state-owned Bank of North Dakota earned taxpayers more than \$61 million last year and reported a profit of \$57 million in 2008, when Bank of America had a \$1.2 billion net loss. The San Francisco bank proposal is sponsored by city supervisor John Avalos, who has been thinking about a municipal bank for several years.

Weidner calls the proposal “the boldest institutional stroke yet against banks targeted by the Occupy movement.”

Responding to the Critics

He acknowledges that it will be an uphill climb. In a follow-up article on December 6th, Weidner wrote:

Of course, there are critics. . . . They argue that public banks would put public money at risk. Would you be surprised to know that most of the critics are bankers?

That’s why you don’t hear them talking about the \$100 billion they lost for the California pension funds in 2008. They don’t talk about the foreclosures that have wrought havoc on communities and tax revenues. They don’t talk about liar loans and what kind of impact that’s had on the economy, employment and the real estate market—not to mention local and state budgets.

Risk to the taxpayers remains the chief objection of banker opponents. “There is no need for such lending,” they say. “We already provide loans to any creditworthy applicant who comes to us. Why put taxpayer money at risk, lending for every crackpot scheme that some politician wants to waste taxpayer money on?”

Tom Hagan, who pays taxes in Maine, has a response to that argument. In a December 3rd letter to the editor in the *Press Herald* (Portland), he maintained there is no need to invest public bank money in risky retail ventures. The money could be saved for infrastructure projects, at least while the public banking model is being proven. The salubrious result could be to cut local infrastructure costs in half. Making his case in conjunction with a Maine turnpike project, he wrote:

Why does Maine pay double for turnpike improvements?

Improvements are funded by bonds issued by the Maine Turnpike Authority, which collects the principal amounts, then pays the bonds back with interest.

Over time, interest payments add up to about the original principal, doubling the cost of turnpike improvements and the tolls that must be collected to pay for them. The interest money is shipped out of state to Wall Street banks.

Why not keep the interest money here in Maine, to the benefit of all Mainers? This could be done by creating a state-owned bank. State funds now deposited in low- or no-interest checking accounts would instead be deposited in the state bank.

Those funds would be used to buy up the authority bonds and municipal bonds issued by the Maine Bond Bank. All of them. Since all interest payments would flow into the state treasury, we would end up paying half what we now pay for our roads, bridges and schools.

North Dakota has profited from a state-owned bank for 90 years. Why not Maine?

The state bank could generate “bank credit” on its books, as all chartered banks are authorized to do. This credit could then be used to buy the bonds. The government’s deposits would not be “spent” but would remain in the government’s account, as safe as they are in Bank of America—arguably more so, since the solvency of the public bank would be guaranteed by the local government.

Critics worry about the profligate risk-taking of politicians, but the trusty civil servants at the Bank of North Dakota insist that they are not politicians; they are bankers. Unlike the Wall Street banks that had to be bailed out by the taxpayers, the Bank of North Dakota invests conservatively. It avoided the derivatives and toxic mortgage-backed securities that precipitated the credit crisis and it helped the state avoid the crisis by partnering with local banks, helping them with capital and liquidity requirements. As a result, the state has had no bank failures in at least a decade.

With intelligent use of the ever-evolving Internet, truly effective public oversight can minimize any cronyism. California’s pension funds might have avoided losing \$100 billion if, instead of gambling in the Wall Street casino, they had invested in infrastructure through the state’s own state bank.

The Constitutional Challenge

In Weidner's *Wall Street Journal* article, he raises another argument of opponents—that California law forbids using taxpayer money to make private loans. That, he said, would have to be changed.

The U.S. Supreme Court, however, has held otherwise. In 1920, the constitutional objection was raised in conjunction with the Bank of North Dakota and was rejected both by the Supreme Court of North Dakota and the U.S. Supreme Court. See *Green v. Frazier*, 253 U. S. 233 (1920), and fuller discussion here.

A municipal bank would be doing with the public's funds only what Bank of America does now: it would be lending "bank credit" backed by the bank's capital and deposits. The difference would be that the local community, not Florida or Europe, would get the loans; and the city of San Francisco, not Bank of America, would get the profits.

California and many other states already own infrastructure banks that use the states' funds to back loans. If that use of public monies is legal, and if public funds can be deposited in Bank of America and used as the basis for loans to multi-national corporations, they can be deposited in the Bank of San Francisco and used as the basis for loans to the local community.

Better yet, they can be used to buy municipal bonds. Investing in municipal bonds would avoid the constitutional issue with "private loans" altogether, since the loans would be to local government.

Sending a Message to Wall Street

The campaign to "move your money" has gotten a groundswell of support, but move your money into what? Weidner repeats the complaint of critics that private credit unions have gotten too big and threaten commercial banking. Having greater impact would be to "move our money"—move our local government revenues out of Wall Street banks into our own publicly-owned banks, which could then generate credit for the local economy and public works.

It's Your Choice, Europe: Rebel Against the Banks or Accept Debt-Serfdom

The European debt Bubble has burst, and the re-pricing of risk and debt cannot be put back in the bottle.

by Charles Hugh Smith
December 06, 2011

"Of Two Minds"

It's really this simple, Europe: either rebel against the banks or accept decades of debt-serfdom. All the millions of words published about the European debt crisis can be distilled down a handful of simple dynamics. Once we understand those, then the choice between resistance and debt-serfdom is revealed as the *only* choice: the rest of the "options" are illusory.

The euro enabled a short-lived but extremely attractive fantasy: the more productive northern EU economies could mint profits in two ways: A) sell their goods and services to their less productive southern neighbors in quantity because these neighbors were now able to borrow vast sums of money at low (i.e. near-"German") rates of interest, and B) loan these

consumer nations these vast sums of money with stupendous leverage, i.e. 1 euro in capital supports 26 euro of lending/debt.

The less productive nations also had a very attractive fantasy: that their present level of productivity (that is, the output of goods and services created by their economies) could be leveraged up via low-interest debt to support a much higher level of consumption and mal-investment in things like villas and luxury autos.

According to Europe's Currency Road to Nowhere (WSJ.com):

Northern Europe has fueled its growth through exports. It has run huge trade imbalances, the most extreme of which with these same Southern European countries now in peril. Productivity rose dramatically compared to the South, but the currency did not.

This explains at least part of the German export and manufacturing miracle of the last 12 years. In 1999, exports were 29% of German gross domestic product. By 2008, they were 47%—an increase vastly larger than in Italy, Spain and Greece, where the ratios increased modestly or even fell. Germany's net export contribution to GDP (exports minus imports as a share of the economy) rose by nearly a factor of eight. Unlike almost every other high-income country, where manufacturing's share of the economy fell significantly, in Germany it actually rose as the price of German goods grew more and more attractive compared to those of other countries. In a key sense, Germany's currency has been to Southern Europe what China's has been to the U.S.

Flush with profits from exports and loans, Germany and its mercantilist (exporting nations) also ramped up their own borrowing why not, when growth was so strong?

But the whole set-up was a doomed financial fantasy. The euro seemed to be magic: it enabled importing nations to buy more and borrow more, while also enabling exporting nations to reap immense profits from rising exports and lending.

Put another way: risk and debt were both massively mispriced by the illusion that the endless growth of debt-based consumption could continue forever.

The euro was in a sense a scam that served the interests of everyone involved: with risk considered near-zero, interest rates were near-zero, too, and more debt could be leveraged from a small base of productivity and capital.

But now reality has re-priced risk and debt, and the clueless leadership of the EU is attempting to put the genie back in the bottle. Alas, the debt loads are too crushing, and the productivity too weak, to support the fantasy of zero risk and low rates of return.

The Credit Bubble Bulletin's Doug Nolan summarized the reality succinctly: "The European debt Bubble has burst." Nolan explains the basic mechanisms thusly: *The Mythical "Great Moderation"*:

For years, European debt was being mispriced in the (over-liquefied, over-leveraged and over-speculated global) marketplace. Countries such as Greece, Portugal, Ireland, Spain and Italy benefitted immeasurably from the market perception that European monetary integration ensured debt, economic

and policymaking stability.

Similar to the U.S. mortgage/Wall Street finance Bubble, the marketplace was for years content to ignore Credit excesses and festering system fragilities, choosing instead to price debt obligations based on the expectation for zero defaults, abundant liquidity, readily available hedging instruments, and a policymaking regime that would ensure market stability.

Importantly, **this backdrop created the perfect market environment for financial leveraging and rampant speculation in a global financial backdrop unsurpassed for its capacity for excess.** The arbitrage of European bond yields was likely one of history's most lucrative speculative endeavors.

In simple terms, this is the stark reality: now that debt and risk have been re-priced, Europe's debts are completely, totally unpayable. There is no way to keep adding to the Matterhorn of debt at the old cheap rate of interest, and there is no way to roll over the trillions of euro in debt that are coming due at the old near-zero rates.

Never mind actually paying down debt, sovereign, corporate and private—the re-pricing of risk and debt mean even the interest payments are unpayable. Consider this chart of one tiny slice of total EU debt:

There is no way to push the re-pricing genie back in the bottle, and so there is no way to roll over this debt and add to it—and to support the high-cost structure of Euroland's welfare-state governments and their astounding debt, then debt must be added, and in staggering quantities.

Austerity won't put the re-pricing/bubble burst genie back in the bottle. A funny thing happens when more of the national income is diverted to debt service (making interest payments and rolling over existing debt into new higher-interest debt): there is less surplus available for investment and consumption, which means that both productivity based on investment and consumption based on debt will plummet.

This leaves the nation with lower productivity and lower GDP, which means there is also less tax revenues being collected and more bankruptcies as companies and individuals accept the reality that their debts cannot be paid.

The re-pricing genie responds to this decline in national income, surplus and taxes by re-pricing risk of default even higher, and so the interest rate is also re-priced higher. This makes servicing the mountain of existing debt even more costly, and so even less national income is available for consumption, investment and taxes.

This is called a positive feedback loop: each action reinforces the other, i.e. a self-reinforcing feedback loop. Debt and risk are re-priced higher, the burden of debt service reduces national income available for investment, consumption and taxes, which further re-prices risk higher, and so on.

So you see, Europe, there is only one choice: either accept the endless debt serfdom of ever-rising interest payments and lower income and productivity, or rebel against your pathetic lackey leadership and renounce the entire mountain of unpayable debt. Grasp the nettle and renounce the euro as the fundamental cause of your fantasy and collapse, and revert to national currencies

which enable the market to discover the price of your underlying productivity and ability to borrow money.

Renouncing the euro does not mean renouncing the freedoms of the European Union: the two are only bound at the hip in the minds of your enfeebled leadership, who are in thrall to the leveraged-26-to-1 banks that are poised on the edge of insolvency.

Let the banks implode in bankruptcy, clear the worthless “assets” of debt from the books, and let the market price currencies and everything else. The only other choice is debt-serfdom.

All the other schemes and proposals are simply variations of one single fantasy: that the feckless leadership can fool the re-pricing genie with parlor tricks. They can’t. Everybody with any understanding of the situation knows that the debt bubble has already burst, and risk and debt cannot be re-priced back to fantasy levels.

That re-pricing has already occurred, and cannot be revoked or shoved back in the bottle. The Great European Debt Bubble has already burst, and so now it boils down to a simple choice: debt serfdom or open rebellion against the banks that profited so handsomely from the euro-fantasy.

There is no middle ground, as the debt cannot be repaid, not now and not in the future. It cannot be reshuffled, masked, or hidden; it can only be renounced.

It’s your choice, Europe; choose wisely. If you want a model for sanity and growth, look to Iceland. They renounced their unpayable debts and debt-serfdom, and let the market re-price their currency, debt and risk. The nightmare is past for them; they chose wisely. Now it’s your turn to choose.

The debt-serfdom will fall to you, not the banks or your Elites.

After Fukushima: Enough Is Enough

by Helen Caldicott
Global Research
December 5, 2011

New York Times, 2011-12-02

The nuclear power industry has been resurrected over the past decade by a lobbying campaign that has left many people believing it to be a clean, green, emission-free alternative to fossil fuels. These beliefs pose an extraordinary threat to global public health and encourage a major financial drain on national economies and taxpayers. The commitment to nuclear power as an environmentally safe energy source has also stifled the mass development of alternative technologies that are far cheaper, safer and almost emission free the future for global energy.

When the Fukushima Daiichi reactors suffered meltdowns in March, literally in the backyard of an unsuspecting public, the stark reality that the risks of nuclear power far outweigh any benefits should have become clear to the world. As the old quip states, “Nuclear power is one hell of a way to boil water.”

Instead, the nuclear industry has used the disaster to increase its already extensive lobbying efforts. A few

nations vowed to phase out nuclear energy after the disaster. But many others have remained steadfast in their commitment. That has left millions of innocent people unaware that they all of us may face a medical catastrophe beyond all proportions in the wake of Fukushima and through the continued widespread use of nuclear energy.

The world was warned of the dangers of nuclear accidents 25 years ago, when Chernobyl exploded and lofted radioactive poisons into the atmosphere. Those poisons “rained out,” creating hot spots over the Northern Hemisphere. Research by scientists in Eastern Europe, collected and published by the New York Academy of Sciences, estimates that 40 percent of the European land mass is now contaminated with cesium 137 and other radioactive poisons that will concentrate in food for hundreds to thousands of years. Wide areas of Asia from Turkey to China the United Arab Emirates, North Africa and North America are also contaminated. Nearly 200 million people remain exposed.

That research estimated that by now close to 1 million people have died of causes linked to the Chernobyl disaster. They perished from cancers, congenital deformities, immune deficiencies, infections, cardiovascular diseases, endocrine abnormalities and radiation-induced factors that increased infant mortality. Studies in Belarus found that in 2000, 14 years after the Chernobyl disaster, fewer than 20 percent of children were considered “practically healthy,” compared to 90 percent before Chernobyl. Now, Fukushima has been called the second-worst nuclear disaster after Chernobyl. Much is still uncertain about the long-term consequences. Fukushima may well be on par with or even far exceed Chernobyl in terms of the effects on public health, as new information becomes available. The crisis is ongoing; the plant remains unstable and radiation emissions continue into the air and water.

Recent monitoring by citizens groups, international organizations and the U.S. government have found dangerous hot spots in Tokyo and other areas. The Japanese government, meanwhile, in late September lifted evacuation advisories for some areas near the damaged plant even though high levels of radiation remained. The government estimated that it will spend at least \$13 billion to clean up contamination.

Many thousands of people continue to inhabit areas that are highly contaminated, particularly northwest of Fukushima. Radioactive elements have been deposited throughout northern Japan, found in tap water in Tokyo and concentrated in tea, beef, rice and other food. In one of the few studies on human contamination in the months following the accident, over half of the more than 1,000 children whose thyroids were monitored in Fukushima City were found to be contaminated with iodine 131 condemning many to thyroid cancer years from now.

Children are innately sensitive to the carcinogenic effects of radiation, fetuses even more so. Like Chernobyl, the accident at Fukushima is of global proportions. Unusual levels of radiation have been discovered in British Columbia, along the West Coast and East Coast of the United States and in Europe, and heavy contamination has been found in oceanic waters.

Fukushima is classified as a grade 7 accident on the

International Atomic Energy Agency scale denoting “widespread health and environmental effects.” That is the same severity as Chernobyl, the only other grade 7 accident in history, but there is no higher number on the agency’s scale.

After the accident, lobbying groups touted improved safety at nuclear installations globally. In Japan, the Tokyo Electric Power Co. which operates the Fukushima Daiichi reactors and the government have sought to control the reporting of negative stories via telecom companies and Internet service providers.

In Britain, *The Guardian* reported that days after the tsunami, companies with interests in nuclear power Areva, EDF Energy and Westinghouse worked with the government to downplay the accident, fearing setbacks on plans for new nuclear power plants.

Nuclear power has always been the nefarious Trojan

The Rothschild Dynasty by Dr. John Coleman

This book tells how the founder of the “Red Shield” Dynasty acquired his fortune and the intriguing steps he took that made the family to virtual rulers of all Europe. This book is also about the present and future. It will help explain the war in Iraq, and the threats of war against Iran.

Cost for US \$25 (Canada \$32)

Make order out to Phoenix Source
Distributors

PO Box 27353, Las Vegas, NV 89126,
Visa & Master cards: phone 1-800-800-5565

horse for the weapons industry, and effective publicity campaigns are a hallmark of both industries. The concept of nuclear electricity was conceived in the early 1950s as a way to make the public more comfortable with the U.S. development of nuclear weapons. “The atomic bomb will be accepted far more readily if at the same time atomic energy is being used for constructive ends,” a consultant to the Defense Department Psychological Strategy Board, Stefan Possony, suggested. The phrase “Atoms for Peace” was popularized by President Dwight Eisenhower in the early 1950s.

Nuclear power and nuclear weapons are one and the same technology. A 1,000 megawatt nuclear reactor generates 600 pounds or so of plutonium per year: An atomic bomb requires a fraction of that amount for fuel, and plutonium remains radioactive for 250,000 years. Therefore every country with a nuclear power plant also has a bomb factory with unlimited potential. The nuclear power industry sets an unforgivable precedent by exporting nuclear technology bomb factories to dozens of non-nuclear nations.

Why is nuclear power still viable, after we’ve witnessed catastrophic accidents, enormous financial outlays, weapons proliferation and nuclear-waste induced epidemics of cancers and genetic disease for generations to come? Simply put, many government and other officials believe the nuclear industry mantra:

safe, clean and green. And the public is not educated on the issue.

There are some signs of change. Germany will phase out nuclear power by 2022. Italy and Switzerland have decided against it, and anti-nuclear advocates in Japan have gained traction. China remains cautious on nuclear power. Yet the nuclear enthusiasm of the U.S., Britain, Russia and Canada continues unabated. The industry, meanwhile, has promoted new modular and “advanced” reactors as better alternatives to traditional reactors. They are, however, subject to the very same risks accidents, terrorist attacks, human error as the traditional reactors. Many also create fissile material for bombs as well as the legacy of radioactive waste.

True green, clean, nearly emission-free solutions exist for providing energy. They lie in a combination of conservation and renewable energy sources, mainly wind, solar and geothermal, hydropower plants, and biomass from algae. A smart-grid could integrate consuming and producing devices, allowing flexible operation of household appliances. The problem of intermittent power can be solved by storing energy using available technologies.

Millions of jobs can be created by replacing nuclear power with nationally integrated, renewable energy systems. In the U.S. alone, the project could be paid for by the \$180 billion currently allocated for nuclear weapons programs over the next decade. There would be no need for new weapons if the Russian and U.S. nuclear arsenals 95 percent of the estimated 20,500 nuclear weapons globally were abolished.

Nuclear advocates often paint those who oppose them as Luddites who are afraid of, or don’t understand, technology, or as hysterics who exaggerate the dangers of nuclear power.

One might recall the sustained attack over many decades by the tobacco industry upon the medical profession, a profession that revealed the grave health dangers induced by smoking.

Smoking, broadly speaking, only kills the smoker. Nuclear power bequeaths morbidity and mortality epidemics of disease to all future generations.

The millions of lives lost to smoking in the era before the health risks of cigarettes were widely exposed will be minuscule compared to the medical catastrophe we face through the continued use of nuclear power.

Let’s use this extraordinary moment to convince governments and others to move toward a nuclear-free world. Let’s prove that informed democracies will behave in a responsible fashion.

Playing With Fire

Obama’s Risky Oil Threat to China

by Michael T. Klare

www.tomdispatch.com

December 06, 2011

When it comes to China policy, is the Obama administration leaping from the frying pan directly into the fire? In an attempt to turn the page on two disastrous wars in the Greater Middle East, it may have just launched a new Cold War in Asia once again, viewing oil as the key to global supremacy.

The new policy was signaled by President Obama himself on November 17th in an address to the Australian Parliament in which he laid out an audacious and extremely dangerous geopolitical vision. Instead of focusing on the Greater Middle East, as has been the case for the last decade, the United States will now concentrate its power in Asia and the Pacific. “My guidance is clear,” he declared in Canberra. “As we plan and budget for the future, we will allocate the resources necessary to maintain our strong military presence in this region.” While administration officials insist that this new policy is not aimed specifically at China, the implication is clear enough: from now on, the primary focus of American military strategy will not be counterterrorism, but the containment of that economically booming land at whatever risk or cost.

The Planet’s New Center of Gravity

The new emphasis on Asia and the containment of China is necessary, top officials insist, because the Asia-Pacific region now constitutes the “center of gravity” of world economic activity. While the United States was bogged down in Iraq and Afghanistan, the argument goes, China had the leeway to expand its influence in the region. For the first time since the end of World War II, Washington is no longer the dominant economic actor there. If the United States is to retain its title as the world’s paramount power, it must, this thinking goes, restore its primacy in the region and roll back Chinese influence. In the coming decades, no foreign policy task will, it is claimed, be more important than this.

In line with its new strategy, the administration has undertaken a number of moves intended to bolster American power in Asia, and so put China on the defensive. These include a decision to deploy an initial 250 U.S. Marines someday to be upped to 2,500 to an Australian air base in Darwin on that country’s north coast, and the adoption on November 18th of “the Manila Declaration,” a pledge of closer U.S. military ties with the Philippines.

At the same time, the White House announced the sale of 24 F-16 fighter jets to Indonesia and a visit by Hillary Clinton to isolated Burma, long a Chinese ally the first there by a secretary of state in 56 years. Clinton has also spoken of increased diplomatic and military ties with Singapore, Thailand, and Vietnam all countries surrounding China or overlooking key trade routes that China relies on for importing raw materials and exporting manufactured goods.

As portrayed by administration officials, such moves are intended to maximize America’s advantages in the diplomatic and military realm at a time when China dominates the economic realm regionally. In a recent article in *Foreign Policy* magazine, Clinton revealingly suggested that an economically weakened United States can no longer hope to prevail in multiple regions simultaneously. It must choose its battlefields carefully and deploy its limited assets most of them of a military nature to maximum advantage. Given Asia’s strategic centrality to global power, this means concentrating resources there.

“Over the last 10 years,” she writes, “we have allocated immense resources to [Iraq and Afghanistan]. In the next 10 years, we need to be smart and systematic about where we invest time and energy, so that we put

ourselves in the best position to sustain our leadership [and] secure our interests... One of the most important tasks of American statecraft over the next decade will therefore be to lock in a substantially increased investment diplomatic, economic, strategic, and otherwise in the Asia-Pacific region.”

Such thinking, with its distinctly military focus, appears dangerously provocative. The steps announced entail an increased military presence in waters bordering China and enhanced military ties with that country’s neighbors moves certain to arouse alarm in Beijing and strengthen the hand of those in the ruling circle (especially in the Chinese military leadership) who favor a more activist, militarized response to U.S. incursions. Whatever form that takes, one thing is certain: the leadership of the globe’s number two economic power is not going to let itself appear weak and indecisive in the face of an American buildup on the periphery of its country. This, in turn, means that we may be sowing the seeds of a new Cold War in Asia in 2011.

The U.S. military buildup and the potential for a powerful Chinese counter-thrust have already been the subject of discussion in the American and Asian press. But one crucial dimension of this incipient struggle has received no attention at all: the degree to which Washington’s sudden moves have been dictated by a fresh analysis of the global energy equation, revealing (as the Obama administration sees it) increased vulnerabilities for the Chinese side and new advantages for Washington.

The New Energy Equation

For decades, the United States has been heavily dependent on imported oil, much of it obtained from the Middle East and Africa, while China was largely self-sufficient in oil output. In 2001, the United States consumed 19.6 million barrels of oil per day, while producing only nine million barrels itself. The dependency on foreign suppliers for that 10.6 million-barrel shortfall proved a source of enormous concern for Washington policymakers. They responded by forging ever closer, more militarized ties with Middle Eastern oil producers and going to war on occasion to ensure the safety of U.S. supply lines.

In 2001, China, on the other hand, consumed only five million barrels per day and so, with a domestic output of 3.3 million barrels, needed to import only 1.7 million barrels. Those cold, hard numbers made its leadership far less concerned about the reliability of the country’s major overseas providers and so it did not need to duplicate the same sort of foreign policy entanglements that Washington had long been involved in.

Now, so the Obama administration has concluded, the tables are beginning to turn. As a result of China’s booming economy and the emergence of a sizeable and growing middle class (many of whom have already bought their first cars), the country’s oil consumption is exploding. Running at about 7.8 million barrels per day in 2008, it will, according to recent projections by the U.S. Department of Energy, reach 13.6 million barrels in 2020, and 16.9 million in 2035. Domestic oil production, on the other hand, is expected to grow from 4.0 million barrels per day in 2008 to 5.3 million in 2035. Not surprisingly, then, Chinese imports are expected to skyrocket from 3.8 million barrels per day

in 2008 to a projected 11.6 million in 2035 at which time they will exceed those of the United States.

The U.S., meanwhile, can look forward to an improved energy situation. Thanks to increased production in “tough oil” areas of the United States, including the Arctic seas off Alaska, the deep waters of the Gulf of Mexico, and shale formations in Montana, North Dakota, and Texas, future imports are expected to decline, even as energy consumption rises. In addition, more oil is likely to be available from the Western Hemisphere rather than the Middle East or Africa. Again, this will be thanks to the exploitation of yet more “tough oil” areas, including the Athabasca tar sands of Canada, Brazilian oil fields in the deep Atlantic, and increasingly pacified energy-rich regions of previously war-torn Colombia. According to the Department of Energy, combined production in the United States, Canada, and Brazil is expected to climb by 10.6 million barrels per day between 2009 and 2035 an enormous jump, considering that most areas of the world are expecting declining output.

Whose Sea Lanes Are These Anyway?

From a geopolitical perspective, all this seems to confer a genuine advantage on the United States, even as China becomes ever more vulnerable to the vagaries of events in, or along, the sea lanes to distant lands. It means Washington will be able to contemplate a gradual loosening of its military and political ties to the Middle Eastern oil states that have dominated its foreign policy for so long and have led to those costly, devastating wars.

Indeed, as President Obama said in Canberra, the U.S. is now in a position to begin to refocus its military capabilities elsewhere. “After a decade in which we fought two wars that cost us dearly,” he declared, “the United States is turning our attention to the vast potential of the Asia-Pacific region.”

For China, all this spells potential strategic impairment. Although some of China’s imported oil will travel overland through pipelines from Kazakhstan and Russia, the great majority of it will still come by tanker from the Middle East, Africa, and Latin America over sea lanes policed by the U.S. Navy. Indeed, almost every tanker bringing oil to China travels across the South China Sea, a body of water the Obama administration is now seeking to place under effective naval control.

By securing naval dominance of the South China Sea and adjacent waters, the Obama administration evidently aims to acquire the twenty-first century energy equivalent of twentieth-century nuclear blackmail. Push us too far, the policy implies, and we’ll bring your economy to its knees by blocking your flow of vital energy supplies. Of course, nothing like this will ever be said in public, but it is inconceivable that senior administration officials are not thinking along just these lines, and there is ample evidence that the Chinese are deeply worried about the risk—as indicated, for example, by their frantic efforts to build staggeringly expensive pipelines across the entire expanse of Asia to the Caspian Sea basin.

As the underlying nature of the new Obama strategic blueprint becomes clearer, there can be no question

that the Chinese leadership will, in response, take steps to ensure the safety of China’s energy lifelines. Some of these moves will undoubtedly be economic and diplomatic, including, for example, efforts to court regional players like Vietnam and Indonesia as well as major oil suppliers like Angola, Nigeria, and Saudi Arabia. Make no mistake, however: others will be of a military nature. A significant buildup of the Chinese navy—still small and backward when compared to the fleets of the United States and its principal allies—would seem all but inevitable. Likewise, closer military ties between China and Russia, as well as with the Central Asian member states of the Shanghai Cooperation Organization (Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Uzbekistan), are assured.

In addition, Washington could now be sparking the beginnings of a genuine Cold-War-style arms race in Asia, which neither country can, in the long run, afford. All of this is likely to lead to greater tension and a heightened risk of inadvertent escalation arising out of future incidents involving U.S., Chinese, and allied vessels like the one that occurred in March 2009 when a flotilla of Chinese naval vessels surrounded a U.S. anti-submarine warfare surveillance ship, the *Impeccable*, and almost precipitated a shooting incident. As more warships circulate through these waters in an increasingly provocative fashion, the risk that such an incident will result in something far more explosive can only grow.

Nor will the potential risks and costs of such a military-first policy aimed at China be restricted to Asia. In the drive to promote greater U.S. self-sufficiency in energy output, the Obama administration is giving its approval to production techniques Arctic drilling, deep-offshore drilling, and hydraulic fracturing that are guaranteed to lead to further Deepwater Horizon-style environmental catastrophe at home. Greater reliance on Canadian tar sands, the “dirtiest” of energies, will result in increased greenhouse gas emissions and a multitude of other environmental hazards, while deep Atlantic oil production off the Brazilian coast and elsewhere has its own set of grim dangers.

All of this ensures that, environmentally, militarily, and economically, we will find ourselves in a more, not less, perilous world. The desire to turn away from disastrous land wars in the Greater Middle East to deal with key issues now simmering in Asia is understandable, but choosing a strategy that puts such an emphasis on military dominance and provocation is bound to provoke a response in kind. It is hardly a prudent path to head down, nor will it, in the long run, advance America’s interests at a time when global economic cooperation is crucial. Sacrificing the environment to achieve greater energy independence makes no more sense.

A new Cold War in Asia and a hemispheric energy policy that could endanger the planet: it’s a fatal brew that should be reconsidered before the slide toward confrontation and environmental disaster becomes irreversible. You don’t have to be a seer to know that this is not the definition of good statesmanship, but of the march of folly.

Detention Camp Order Follows Preparations For Civil Unrest

KBR seeks sub-contractors to outfit “emergency environment” centers

by Paul Joseph Watson
Prison Planet.com
December 7, 2011

The revelation that Halliburton subsidiary KBR is seeking sub-contractors to staff and outfit “emergency environment” camps located in five regions of the United States follows preparations over the last three years to deal with riots inside the United States that have already spread throughout Europe, North Africa and the Middle East.

As Infowars reported last night, a document sent to us by a state government employee confirms that Kellogg Brown & Root Services are looking to activate camps built for FEMA and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers across the United States.

This follows the Senate’s passage of Section 1031 of the National Defense Authorization Act which allows American citizens to be snatched off the street and held in detention camps without trial.

In 2006, KBR was contracted by Homeland Security to build detention centers designed to deal with “an emergency influx of immigrants into the U.S.,” or the rapid development of unspecified “new programs” that would require large numbers of people to be interned.

Since 2006, the world has been beset by riots and civil unrest as a result of the fallout from the economic collapse. From the United Kingdom, to continental Europe, to the Middle East and North Africa, almost every corner of the globe has experienced social dislocation.

Now U.S. authorities are preparing for such eventualities on home soil, with major police departments like the NYPD staging “mobilization exercises” to train police to prepare for civil disorder in the United States.

Warnings and preparation for civil unrest coming to the United States have been voiced on a regular basis.

Back in 2008, U.S. troops returning from Iraq were earmarked for “homeland patrols” with one of their roles including helping with “civil unrest and crowd control”.

In December 2008, the *Washington Post* reported on plans to station 20,000 more U.S. troops inside America for purposes of “domestic security” from September 2011 onwards, an expansion of Northcom’s militarization of the country in preparation for potential civil unrest following a total economic collapse or a mass terror attack.

A report produced that same year by the U.S. Army War College’s Strategic Institute warned that the United States may experience massive civil unrest in the wake of a series of crises which it termed “strategic shock”.

“Widespread civil violence inside the United States would force the defense establishment to reorient priorities in extremis to defend basic domestic order and human security,” stated the report, authored by [Ret.] Lt. Col. Nathan Freir, adding that the military may be needed to quell “purposeful domestic resistance”.

The United States has continuity of government plans in place should martial law be declared by the President. However, the details of those plans have been so tightly guarded that even Congressman and Homeland Security Committee member Peter DeFazio (D – OR), who has the necessary security clearance, was denied access to view the material when he requested to do so back in July 2007.

Under the terms of the National Emergency Centers Act or HR 645, first introduced in January 2009 and still awaiting passage, emergency camps are to be made available to “meet other appropriate needs, as determined by the Secretary of Homeland Security,” an open ended mandate which many fear could mean the forced detention of American citizens in the event of widespread rioting after a national emergency or total economic collapse.

With many Americans now becoming “pre-revolutionary” as a result of their fury at the Obama administration and equally unpopular lawmakers in Washington, potential civil unrest could spring not just from a poverty-stricken underclass, but also the shrinking middle class.

Indeed, top elitist Zbigniew Brzezinski warned earlier this year that middle class unrest caused by economic disenfranchisement would soon hit America.

Perhaps that’s why the Department of Homeland Security is increasingly focusing its anti-terror

FUNDS APPEAL

EJ is in desperate need of money to pay for his medicines and doctors.

Please help if you can. Checks should go to IESC c/o Kunick, 20411 Park Road, Tehachapi CA 93561.

Thank you for your continuing support.

apparatus on white middle class Americans, portraying them as domestic terrorists [12] in a series of PSA videos. In addition, ‘Occupy’ protesters are also now being characterized as terrorists.

The fact that detention camps have been constructed inside America and are now being staffed and readied for “emergency” situations can no longer be ignored or ridiculed as a conspiracy theory.

Kellogg Brown & Root need to be completely transparent and explain where the camps are located, what they contain, and during what type of “emergencies” are they planned to be used for.

Ten Lies about the Smolensk Crash

by our Polish Correspondent
(henrymakow.com)
December 8, 2011

The plane managed to make an emergency landing in the mud. The strength of the bomb explosion tore it into pieces and killed some passengers. The ones who survived were murdered with firearms.

Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it. John 8:44

The horrifying story of Polish government murdered in a plane crash in Smolensk has been surrounded by lies from the second it happened, and probably even before.

In the case of Polish President’s plane crash in Smolensk, the perpetrators did not expect that the truth would come to light so quickly. They made too many mistakes. The short video, seen worldwide, is real. I do not care what the experts say. When I saw it for the first time, the deepest impression of incredible evil happening in this gloomy place was overwhelming. I do not need any experts to translate the Polish words spoken by the culprits.

I can understand my native language easily. There are Polish people shouting Polish words in authoritative and angry tone: “Calm down! Look into my eyes! Change of plans!”

And I can clearly hear gunshots, somebody is being shot there. Is that a Polish president, who survived the crash? Is that any other VIP who should be kept quiet forever? We will never know the truth, because people whom we should trust do not want us to know it.

The 10 official lies according to Leszek Szymowski, the former journalist of the weekly *Wprost* and TVP, the author of the controversial book titled: “Zamach w Smoleńsku,” (Assassination in Smoleńsk)

1. The President’s Tupolev airplane flew to Smolensk registered as an international flight. **It’s a lie:** The plane was the governmental TU 154M, and the flight to Smolensk was registered as the military flight.

2. The crew of the plane was inexperienced and made fundamental mistakes. Ignoring orders of the air controllers and making an attempt to land. **It’s a lie:** Pilots were professionally trained and had great experience, and the controller gave them permission to land.

3. At 8:41 am, after collision with a birch tree, the plane turned to its back and hit the ground. Power of the load factor killed all passengers. Nobody survived. **It’s a lie:** Tupolev cut trees down, but to some point damaged, managed to make emergency landing in the mud. 08: 39 the strength of the bomb explosion tore it into pieces and killed some passengers. The ones, who survived, were murdered with firearms.

4. The video shot on the spot with a mobile phone by Wołodia Safonienko does not make any difference, and the sounds registered there, come from the explosion of the ammunition of Government Protection Bureau officers. **It’s a lie:** Wołodia Safonienko didn’t shoot this film. The sounds of the shots came from firearms, and the film is the evidence that passengers who survived, were murdered.

5. The investigation from the very beginning was conducted altogether by Poland and Russia, the cooperation was superb and it was led according to the highest standards. **It’s a lie:** the Tusk government let the Russians carry the investigation and the Polish

prosecutor’s office could hardly say anything. From the very beginning the Russians did monkey business.

6. From the very first second, the families of the victims were provided with legal and psychological aid and could participate in all activities. All bodies of the victims were identified and transported to Poland. **It’s a lie:** families of victims have been humiliated from the beginning and had difficulties to get access to the investigative materials. The coffins brought to Poland were soldered and the families were not permitted to open them.

7. Polish and Russian investigators, bearing in mind importance of the case, diligently informed the public about the progress in the investigation and about the most important arrangements. **It’s a lie.** From the very first second after the crash, it has been a well organized disinformation action. Both Polish and Russian investigators lied. The Russian MAK Comitee and both governments lied as well.

8. Russian Security Services didn’t have the slightest interest in killing Lech Kaczyński, because in a few months he would stop being a president and would walk away into the political oblivion. **It’s a lie:** Lech Kaczyński could win elections again. He acted against the politics of Russian imperialism, he tried to get Georgia into NATO. He reinforced the independence of Poland, contributed to dissolution of WSI (Poland’s former Military Intelligence Services) and opposed the disadvantageous regulations of the gas agreement with Russia.

9. After the death of President Kaczyński, relations with Russia have been normalized, and relationships between both states have become eventually correct. **It’s a lie.** Polish foreign policy turned into pro-Russian direction, and the servility of the government and the president Komorowski towards Russia have reached inconceivable scale.

10. The death of Lech Kaczyński was a result of the disaster being an effect of many ill-fated circumstances. **It’s a lie:** Lech Kaczyński was murdered.

As I said before, the list of lies is endless, but there is no room here to write about them all. The best conclusion I read about what happened on 10.04.2010 was written by an anonymous blogger. Who does not believe it, must have eyes but cannot see and have ears but cannot hear.

“There is much more hidden behind this cruel, sinister and arrogant coup (d’état), something much more than only a murder of the most important 96 people in the country, including the president.

For it was a violent assault on our country, on our economic freedom which we lost (they plundered and sold off as many as 8400 workplaces and factories). It was an assault on our independence (we were slapped in the face as it happened on the anniversary of Katyn slaughter).

The country is being denationalized; four million people are forced to work in UK and other EU countries, having no other way to survive economically. Eleven million live in poverty and slavery. It’s about the IV partition of Poland, which is just taking place (for 22 years we have been watching the distinct collaboration of traitors with invaders).

Can't you see that? This assault was the final nail in the coffin, and we all, as the whole nation are placed in it."

MEDIA PROMOTE GLOBAL TAX AS FINANCIAL CRISIS DEEPENS

by Cliff Kincaid
NewsWithViews.com
December 9, 2011

A *New York Times* story about a "modest" global tax mentions some of those supporting the idea but forgets an important one—the United Nations. I attended a November 30 U.N.-sponsored conference in Washington, D.C., where officials of the U.N. Development Program (UNDP) appeared on a panel endorsing the idea.

The UNDP is supported by about \$100 million in U.S. taxpayer money annually. The U.N. as a whole received \$7.7 billion from American taxpayers last year.

Elizabeth Shogren of National Public Radio was the moderator of the discussion, which carried the title, "Sustainability & Equity: A Better Future for All."

Olav Kjørven, Assistant Secretary-General and Director of the Bureau of Development Policy at UNDP, said that while U.S. taxpayers may not support the global tax idea, the French do. Indeed, French President Nicolas Sarkozy and German Chancellor Angela Merkel have proposed a financial transaction tax on the European Union in order to generate more bailout money for bankrupt European states.

A former UNDP official in the audience by the name of Fred Tipson said it was crazy for the U.N. to be promoting the raising of taxes to an American audience and that the world body should instead adopt the lingo of Occupy Wall Street and emphasize the problem of "social inequality".

These and other exchanges with U.N. officials were captured in a video of the event. Alluding to an international IRS, U.N. official William Orme also said the imposition of the tax would require some kind of new global structure.

The Times mentioned that the global currency tax is an idea adopted by Occupy Wall Street—a subject we covered in a column on October 11. But the paper went on to quote an "administration official" as saying, "The president is sympathetic to the goals that a financial transactions tax is trying to achieve and he is pushing for a financial crisis responsibility fee and closing other Wall Street loopholes as the best and most feasible way to achieve those goals."

In the past, Obama has backed international studies on the feasibility of implementing a global tax.

But since the currency transaction tax proposals introduced by Democratic Rep. Peter DeFazio in the House and Senator Tom Harkin in the Senate have very few co-sponsors, it is apparent that a major international financial crisis would be necessary to force such a measure through Congress.

The original DeFazio co-sponsors were Reps. Bruce Braley, Hank Johnson, John Sarbanes, Bob Filner,

Betty Sutton, Earl Blumenauer, Louise Slaughter, Mazie Hirono, Peter Welch, John Conyers, and Maurice Hinchey. The original Harkin co-sponsors were Senators Bernie Sanders (I-VT) and Sherrod Brown (D-OH).

In the current crisis the International Monetary Fund (IMF) has already committed \$353 billion to bailing out European governments. U.S. taxpayers provide approximately 17 percent of the IMF's operations.

Rep. Cathy McMorris Rodgers (R-WA) introduced H.R. 2313 to repeal the \$8 billion increased quota and the \$100 billion line of credit to the International Monetary Fund that were voted by the Democratic-controlled Congress in 2009.

The idea of a global tax is being discussed at the U.N. Climate Change Conference taking place November 28-December 9 in Durban, South Africa.

The U.N. wants the global tax to generate additional foreign aid, which under the Millennium Development Goals of the U.N. could amount to \$845 billion from the U.S. alone.

The Times story carried the headline, "Tiny Tax on Financial Trades Gains Advocates," as if to minimize the nature of what is being proposed. It said the idea is supported by "the billionaire philanthropists Bill Gates and George Soros, former Vice President Al Gore, the consumer activist Ralph Nader, Pope Benedict XVI and the archbishop of Canterbury."

The paper could have added that supporters also include Fidel Castro and the Socialist International, among others.

One list of supporters includes House Minority Leader Nancy Pelosi, the Soros-funded Center for American Progress, and former Obama official Van Jones.

The Times said Gates had estimated the tax would bring in \$48 billion. In fact, the Gates report says that such proposals could generate \$100 billion to \$250 billion per year.

Steven Solomon, a former staff reporter at Forbes, says in his book, *The Confidence Game*, that such a proposal "might net some \$13 trillion a year..."

This is because once a "tiny" tax is implemented, it would be relatively easy to ratchet it up and take higher and higher percentages of money from the trillions of dollars exchanged daily in global financial markets. Any such tax would affect ordinary Americans with investment vehicles such as mutual funds and pension plans that engage in stock trades involving the movement of national currencies.

But *The Times'* story portrayed the global tax as hurting Wall Street and the rich by running a photo of demonstrators in Nice, France, dressed up as Robin Hood, who "urged the leaders of the Group of 20 nations to do more to help the poor".

The slant in favor of the tax is not surprising, given the fact that *New York Times* columnists Paul Krugman and Nicholas Kristof and *The New York Times* editorial board are listed as being in favor of it.

Interestingly, the U.N. event moderator, Elizabeth Shogren, and one of the U.N. officials, William Orme, Chief of Communications & Publishing at the UNDP

Human Development Report Office in New York, have both worked for the *Los Angeles Times*, a point Shogren noted in her remarks. Orme's background in journalism, before he became a U.N. advocate and employee, also includes reporting on international affairs for *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post*, and *The Economist*.

The Washington, D.C. event was for the purpose of discussing the UNDP's 2011 Human Development Report, which includes several pages of discussion about how the tax should be promoted and implemented. Orme said that the report does not necessarily reflect the views of the UNDP itself. But he talked in positive terms about the tax.

The report urges "massive new investments" through "innovative new sources" like the currency transaction tax and notes that the idea was included in the 1994 edition of the Human Development Report.

Indeed, that Human Development Report went further, including an article by economist Jan Tinbergen calling for "world government" through a "strengthening of the U.N. system". He also urged a "world police" with the ability to "subpoena nations" to appear before U.N. courts.

U.N. promotion of the global tax comes at a time when Rep. Ileana Ros-Lehtinen, chairman of the House Foreign Relations Committee, has introduced a bill, "The United Nations Transparency, Accountability, and Reform Act" (H.R. 2829), to limit U.S. participation in some U.N. functions and start cutting back on this funding. It passed the committee by a 23-14 vote and currently has 141 co-sponsors.

Secretary of State Hillary Clinton has recommended a veto of the bill if it passes the Congress.

R.I.P. Bill of Rights 1789 - 2011

by Mike Adams, the Health Ranger
January 01, 2012

(NaturalNews) One of the most extraordinary documents in human history—the Bill of Rights—has come to an end under President Barack Obama. Derived from sacred principles of natural law, the Bill of Rights has come to a sudden and catastrophic end with the President's signing of the *National Defense Authorization Act* (NDAA), a law that grants the U.S. military the "legal" right to conduct secret kidnappings of U.S. citizens, followed by indefinite detention, interrogation, torture and even murder. This is all conducted completely outside the protection of law, with no jury, no trial, no legal representation and not even any requirement that the government produce evidence against the accused. It is a system of outright government tyranny against the American people, and it effectively nullifies the Bill of Rights.

In what will be remembered as the most traitorous executive signing ever committed against the American people, President Obama signed the bill on New Year's Eve, a time when most Americans were engaged in the consumption of alcohol. It seems appropriate, of course, since no intelligent American could accept the tyranny of this bill if they were sober.

This is the law that will cement Obama's legacy in the

history books as the traitor who nullified the Bill of Rights and paved America's pathway down a road of tyranny that will make Nazi Germany's war crimes look like child's play. If Bush had signed a law like this, liberals would have been screaming "impeachment!"

Why the Bill of Rights matters

While the U.S. Constitution already limits the power of federal government, the Bill of Rights is the document that enumerates **even more limits of federal government power**. In its inception, many argued that a Bill of Rights was completely unnecessary because, they explained, the federal government only has the powers specifically enumerated to it under the U.S. Constitution. There was no need to have a "First Amendment" to protect Free Speech, for example, because there was no power granted to government to diminish Free Speech.

This seems silly today, of course, given the natural tendency of all governments to concentrate power in the hands of the few while destroying the rights and freedoms of their own people. But in the 1780's, whether government could ever become a threat to future freedoms was hotly debated. By 1789, enough revolutionary leaders had agreed on the fundamental principles of a Bill of Rights to sign it into law. Its purpose was to provide **additional clarifications** on the limitation of government power so that there could be absolutely no question that government could NEVER, under any circumstances, violate these key principles of freedom: Freedom of speech, the right to bear arms, freedom from illegal searches, the right to remain silent, the right to due process under law, and so on.

Of course, today's runaway federal government utterly ignores the limitations placed on it by the founding fathers. It aggressively and criminally **seeks to expand its power at all costs**, completely ignoring the Bill of Rights and openly violating the limitations of power placed upon it by the United States Constitution. The TSA's illegal searching of air travelers, for example, is a blatant violation of Fourth Amendment rights. The government's hijacking of websites it claims are linking to "copyright infringement" hubs is a blatant violation of First Amendment rights. The government's demand that all Americans be forced to buy private health insurance is a blatant violation of Article 1, Section 8 of the Constitution—the "commerce clause."

Now, with the passage of the NDAA, the federal government has **torpedoed the entire Bill of Rights**, dismissing it completely and effectively promising to violate those rights at will. As of January 1, 2012, **we have all been designated enemies of the state**. America is the new battleground, and your "right" to due process is null and void.

Remember, this was all done by the very President who promised to close Guantanamo Bay and end secret military prisons. Not only did Obama break that campaign promise (as he has done with nearly ALL his campaign promises), he did exactly the opposite and has now subjected all Americans to the possibility of government-sponsored kidnapping, detainment and torture, all under the very system of secret military prisons he claimed he would close!

"President Obama's action today is a blight on his legacy

because he will forever be known as the president who signed indefinite detention without charge or trial into law," said Anthony D. Romero, executive director of the American Civil Liberties Union.

Obama's signing statement means nothing

Even while committing an act of pure treason in signing the bill, the unindicted criminal President Obama issued a signing statement that reads, in part, "Moving forward, my administration will interpret and implement the provisions described below in a manner that best preserves the flexibility on which our safety depends and upholds the values on which this country was founded..."

Anyone who reads between the lines here realizes the "the flexibility on which our safety depends" means they can interpret the law in any way they want if there is a sufficient amount of fear being created through false flag terror attacks. Astute readers will also notice that Obama's signing statement **has no legal binding whatsoever** and only refers to Obama's *momentary intentions* on how he "wishes" to interpret the law. It does not place any limits whatsoever on how a future President might use the law as written.

"The statute is particularly dangerous because it has no temporal or geographic limitations, and can be used by this and future presidents to militarily detain people captured far from any battlefield," says the ACLU (<http://www.aclu.org/blog/national-s...>).

What this means is that *the next* President could use this law to engage in the most horrific holocaust-scale mass round-up of people the world has ever seen. The NDAA legalizes the crimes of Nazi Germany in America, setting the stage for the mass murder of citizens by a rogue government.

United States of America becomes a rogue nation, operating in violation of international law

Furthermore, the NDAA law as written and signed, is **a violation of international law** as it does not even adhere to the fundamental agreements of how nations treat prisoners of war:

"...the breadth of the NDAA's detention authority violates international law because it is not limited to people captured in the context of an actual armed conflict as required by the laws of war" says the ACLU (<http://www.aclu.org/blog/national-s...>).

In 1789, today's NDAA law would have been called "treasonous," and those who voted for it would have been shot dead as traitors. This is not a call for violence, but rather an attempt to provide historical context of just how destructive this law really is. Men and women fought and died for the U.S. Constitution and the Bill of Rights. People sacrificed their lives, their safety and *risked everything* to achieve the freedoms that made America such a great nation. For one President to so callously throw away 222 years of liberty, betraying those great Americans who painstakingly created an extraordinary document limiting the power of government is equivalent to **driving a stake through the heart of the Republic**.

In signing this, Obama has proven himself to be **the most criminal of all U.S. Presidents**, far worse than George W. Bush and a total traitor to the nation and its People. Remember, Obama swore upon a Bible that he

would "protect and defend the Constitution against all enemies, foreign and domestic," and yet he himself has become the enemy of the Constitution by signing a law that overtly and callously nullifies the Bill of Rights.

This is nothing less than an act of war declared on the American people by the executive and legislative branches of government. It remains to be seen whether the judicial branch will go along with it (US Supreme Court).

Origins of the Bill of Rights

The Bill of Rights, signed in 1789 by many of the founding fathers of our nation, was based on the Virginia Declaration of Rights, drafted in 1776 and authored largely by **George Mason**, one of the least-recognized revolutionaries who gave rise to a nation of freedom and liberty.

Mason was a strong advocate of not just states' rights, but of *individual rights*, and without his influence in 1789, we might not even have a Bill of Rights today (and our nation would have slipped into total government tyranny all the sooner). In fact, he openly opposed ratification of the U.S. Constitution unless it contained a series of amendments now known as the *Bill of Rights* (<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George...>)

SECTION ONE of this Virginia declaration of rights states:

"That all men are by nature equally free and independent and have certain inherent rights, of which, when they enter into a state of society, they cannot, by any compact, deprive or divest their posterity; namely, the enjoyment of life and liberty, with the means of acquiring and possessing property, and pursuing and obtaining happiness and safety." (<http://www.constitution.org/bcp/vir...>)

Section Three of the declaration speaks to the duty of the Citizens to abolish abusive government:

*"That government is, or ought to be, instituted for the common benefit, protection, and security of the people, nation, or community; of all the various modes and forms of government, that is best which is capable of producing the greatest degree of happiness and safety and is most effectually secured against the danger of maladministration; and that, **when any government shall be found inadequate or contrary to these purposes, a majority of the community hath an indubitable, inalienable, and indefeasible right to reform, alter, or abolish it, in such manner as shall be judged most conducive to the public weal.**"*

By any honest measure, today's U.S. government, of course, has overstepped the bounds of its original intent. As Mason wrote over 200 years ago, the People of America now have not merely a right but a *duty* to "reform, alter or abolish it," to bring government back into alignment with its original purpose—to protect the rights of the People.

Obama violates his Presidential Oath, sworn before God

Article II, Section I of the United States Constitution spells out the oath of office that every President must take during their swearing in:

"I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will faithfully execute the Office of President of the United States,

and will to the best of my Ability, preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States.”

In signing the NDAA law into office, Obama has blatantly and unambiguously *violated this sacred oath*, meaning that his betrayal is not merely against the American people, but also against the Divine Creator.

Given that the Bill of Rights is an extension of *Natural Law* which establishes a direct heritage of sovereign power from the Creator to the People, a blatant attack upon the Bill of Rights is, by any account, **an attack**

against the Creator and a violation of universal spiritual principles. Those who attempt to undermine the Bill of Rights are attempting to invalidate the relationship between God and Man, and in doing so, they are identifying themselves as *enemies of God* and *agents of Evil*.

Today, as 2012 begins, we are now **a nation led by evil**, and threatened with total destruction by those who would seek to rule as tyrants. This is America's final hour. We either **defend the Republic starting right now**, or we lose it forever.

their endeavors have been successful, at least as far as keeping up with demand for produce from locals who want it.

The program so far utilizes 70 large planting beds located all around the town to plant raspberries, apricots, apples, blackcurrants, redcurrants, strawberries, beans, peas, cherries, mint, rosemary, thyme, fennel, potatoes, kale, carrots, lettuce, onions, vegetables, and herbs. Not only did locals quickly catch on and begin taking the produce, but they also generally respect the system and do not take advantage of it.

“If you take a grass verge that was used as a litter bin and a dog toilet and turn it into a place full of herbs and fruit trees, people won't vandalize it. I think we are hard-wired not to damage food,” said Warhurst, concerning the notion that offering free fruit and vegetables might lead to abuse or other crimes. She noted, in fact, that quite the opposite has occurred, the *Incredible Edible* program has improved community relations, and reduced crime by an incrementally higher amount every single year since it first started.

The program has been so successful, in fact, that many other communities both in the UK and abroad are now interested in starting their own public garden programs as well. Besides improving the sense of community and reducing crime, *Incredible Edible* has renewed a new sense of appreciation for food and how it is grown, as well as renewed interest in actually growing it among the next generation, which is the envy of many progressive communities around the world.

A Union is Born: Latin America in Revolution

The Community of Latin American and Caribbean States (CELAC)

by Eva Golinger
Global Research
December 8, 2011

While much of the world is in crisis and protests are erupting throughout Europe and the United States, Latin American and Caribbean nations are building consensus, advancing social justice and increasing positive cooperation in the region. Social, political and economic transformations have been taking place through democratic processes in countries such as Venezuela, Bolivia, Ecuador, Nicaragua, Uruguay, Argentina and Brazil throughout the past decade, leading to a massive reduction in poverty and income disparity in the region, and a substantial increase in social services, quality of life and direct participation in political process.

One of the major initiatives of progressive Latin American governments this century has been the creation of new regional organizations that promote integration, cooperation and solidarity amongst neighboring nations. Cuba and Venezuela began this process in 2004 with the founding of the Bolivarian Alliance for the Peoples of Our America (ALBA), that now includes Bolivia, Ecuador, Nicaragua, Dominica, St. Vincent's and the Grenadines and Antigua and Barbuda. ALBA was initially launched in response to the US government's failed attempt to impose its Free Trade Agreement of the Americas (FTAA) throughout the region. Today ALBA is a thriving multilateral

THE NEWS DESK

Sen. Bernie Sanders Files 'Saving American Democracy Constitutional Amendment'

by Alerts
Truthout via Buzzflash
Dec 9, 2011

SENATOR BERNIE SANDERS FOR BUZZFLASH AT TRUTHOUT

Statement from Office of Senator Bernie Sanders (I-VT)

WASHINGTON—Warning that “American democracy in endangered,” Sen. Bernie Sanders (I-Vt.) today proposed a constitutional amendment to overturn a Supreme Court ruling that allowed unrestricted and secret campaign spending by corporations on U.S. elections. The first constitutional amendment ever proposed by Sanders during his two decades in Congress would reverse the narrow 5-to-4 ruling in *Citizens United vs. the Federal Elections Commission*. In that controversial decision almost two years ago, justices gave corporations the same First Amendment free-speech rights as people.

“There comes a time when an issue is so important that the only way to address it is by a constitutional amendment,” Sanders said of the effort to override the court decision that he labeled “a complete undermining of democracy”.

Sanders' *Saving American Democracy Amendment* would make clear that corporations are not entitled to the same constitutional rights as people and that corporations may be regulated by Congress and state legislatures. It also would preserve the First Amendment guarantee of freedom of the press. It would incorporate a century-old ban on corporate campaign donations to candidates, and establish broad authority for Congress and states to regulate spending in elections.

Sanders proposal in the Senate is a companion measure to a constitutional amendment introduced in the House by Rep. Ted Deutch (D-Fla.). “The dominance of corporations in Washington has imperiled the economic security of the American people and left our citizens profoundly disenchanted with our democracy,” the congressman said. “I look forward to working with Sen. Sanders to save American democracy by banning all corporate spending in our elections and cracking down on secret front groups using anonymous corporate cash to undermine the public interest.”

Robert Weissman, the president of Public Citizen, praised the proposal. “Sen. Sanders' amendment returns us to shared understandings that democracy is for people. Public Citizen applauds and endorses the amendment, and thanks Sen. Sanders for his long-time campaign to reduce excessive corporate power.”

Lisa Graves, executive director of the Center for Media and Democracy, also applauded the amendment. She said it would “root out the rank corruption of our elections by for-profit corporations.” “No other amendment proposed in the Senate,” she added, “has so definitively confronted the twin problems created by judges who have improperly granted rights to corporations, without democratic consent, and who have used their seats on the bench to favor the wishes of corporate CEOs”.

A proposed amendment originating in Congress must be approved by a two-thirds majority in the House and Senate in order to be submitted for consideration by the states. Ratification by three-fourths of the states is required to amend the Constitution.

British town grows all of its own vegetables; witnesses improved civic life and reduced crime as a result

by Ethan A. Huff, staff writer
15 Dec 2011

(NaturalNews) When the small British mill town of Todmorden, tucked in between Yorkshire and Lancashire, first began installing fruit and vegetable gardens all around the area as part of the *Incredible Edible* program, it likely had no idea that the novel, yet simple, concept would make the town a foremost inspirational and self-sustaining model of the future.

Fresh herbs, succulent greens, and tasty fruits can be found growing near civic buildings, college campuses, supermarket parking lots, and various other places. Small garden plots, raised planting beds, and even small soil strips in these areas can be found brimming with fresh produce, all of which are free to anyone who want it, and at any time.

It is all part of a program called *Incredible Edible*, which was founded by Mary Clear, a local grandmother of ten, and Pam Warhurst, former owner of a local restaurant in town known as Bear Cafe. The duo had a shared goal of making Todmorden the first town in the UK to become completely self-sufficient in food and

organization with member nations that share similar political visions for their countries and for the region, and includes numerous cooperation agreements in economic, social and cultural areas. The fundamental basis of trade amongst ALBA nations is solidarity and mutual benefit. There is no competition, exploitation or attempt to dominate amongst ALBA states. ALBA even counts on its own currency, the SUCRE, which allows for trade between member nations without dependence on the US dollar.

In 2008, the Union of South American Nations (UNASUR) was formally established as a regional body representing South American states. While ALBA is much more consolidated as a unified political voice, UNASUR represents a diversity of political positions, economic models and visions for the region. But UNASUR members share the common goal of working towards regional unity and guaranteeing the resolution of conflicts through peaceful and diplomatic means. UNASUR has already played a key role in peacefully resolving disputes in Bolivia, particularly during an attempted coup against the government of Evo Morales in 2008, and has also successfully moderated a severe conflict between Colombia and Venezuela, leading to the reestablishment of relations in 2010.

Two hundred years ago, South American Independence hero Simon Bolivar, a native of Venezuela, dreamed of building regional unity and creating a “Patria Grande” (Grand Homeland) in Latin America. After achieving independence for Venezuela, Bolivia, Ecuador and Colombia, and fighting colonialists in several Caribbean nations, Bolivar attempted to turn this dream of Latin American unity into reality. His efforts were sabotaged by powerful interests opposing the creation of a solid regional bloc, and eventually, with the aid of the United States, Bolivar was ousted from his rule in Venezuela and died isolated in Colombia several years later. Meanwhile, the US government had proceeded to implement its Monroe Doctrine, a decree first declared by President James Monroe in 1823 to ensure US domination and control over the newly-freed nations in Latin America and the Caribbean.

Nearly two hundred years of invasions, interventions, aggressions, coup d'états and hostilities led by the US government against Latin American nations shadowed the 19th and 20th centuries. By the end of the 20th century, Washington had successfully imposed governments in every Latin American and Caribbean nation that were subordinate to its agenda, with the exception of Cuba. The Monroe Doctrine had been achieved, and the US felt confident in its control over its “backyard”.

The unexpected turn at the beginning of the 21st century in Venezuela, formerly one of Washington's most stable and subservient partners, came as a shock to the US. Hugo Chavez had been elected President and a Revolution had begun. A coup d'état attempt in 2002 failed to subvert the advancement of the Bolivarian Revolution and the spread of revolutionary fever throughout the region. Soon Bolivia followed, then Nicaragua and Ecuador. Argentina, Brazil and Uruguay elected socialist presidents, two of them former guerrilla fighters. Major changes began to occur throughout the region as the peoples of this vast, diverse and rich continent assumed power and made

their voices heard.

Social transformations in Venezuela that gave voice to people's power became exemplary for others in the region, as did President Chavez's defiance of US imperialism. A powerful sentiment of Latin American sovereignty and independence grew stronger, even reaching those with governments aligned with US interests and multinational control.

On December 2-3, 2011, the Community of Latin American and Caribbean States (CELAC) was born and the overwhelming force of a continent nearly 600 million strong, achieved a 200-year dream of unity. The 33 member nations of CELAC all agree on the unquestionable necessity to build a regional organization that represents their interests, and that excludes the overbearing presence of the US and Canada. While CELAC will take time to consolidate, the exceptional commitment evidenced by the 33 states present at its launching in Caracas, Venezuela, cannot be underestimated.

CELAC will have to overcome attempts to sabotage and neutralize its expansion and endurance, and the threats against it and intents to divide member nations will be numerous and frequent. But the resistance of the peoples of Latin America and the Caribbean who have resumed this path of unity and independence after nearly two hundred years of imperialist aggression, demonstrates the powerful force that has led this region to become an inspiration for those seeking social justice and true freedom around the world.

Iceland recognizes Palestinian State

PressTV
Dec 16, 2011

Iceland has formally recognized an independent Palestinian state, becoming the first northwestern European country to take the move.

Reykjavik recognized Palestine as “an independent and sovereign state within the pre-1967 Six Day War borders,” Iceland's Foreign Minister Ossur Skarphedinsson said in a statement on Thursday, AFP reported.

The war saw Israel capturing the Palestinian territories of the West Bank, East al-Quds (Jerusalem), and the Gaza Strip. Israel carried out a self-proclaimed pullout from Gaza in 2005, but has been keeping the territory under recurrent deadly attacks.

“Palestine is now recognized for the first time by a country in western and northern Europe,” the statement added.

The acting Palestinian Foreign Affairs Minister Riad Malki hailed the decision.

“It's significant because (Iceland) belongs to Europe and it's very important that this might create a very positive atmosphere for others to follow suit,” he said.

Together with the country, at least 112 states around the world have recognized Palestine. Around 150 countries also maintain diplomatic relations with Palestine in one form or another.

The Czech Republic, Hungary, and Poland in Eastern Europe and Malta in the south of the continent have

already undertaken the recognition in Western Europe.

In September, acting Palestinian Authority Chief Mahmoud Abbas formally asked the United Nations to fully recognize Palestine as a member state.

It currently has ‘observer’ status at the world body and was granted full membership at the UN cultural organization, UNESCO, in October, in the face of resistance from the US and Israel.

The United States of Denial

by Gilad Atzmon
11 December 2011

Newt Gingrich is no doubt a revolutionary political thinker.

He has managed to offer an adequate solution to the Israeli Palestinian conflict. In an interview with Steven Weiss of The Jewish Channel, Gingrich said that the Palestinians are an ‘invented people.’

“I think that we've had an invented Palestinian people, who are in fact Arabs, and were historically part of the Arab community. And they had a chance to go to many places.”

Such an approach to world affairs is consistent with both Walt Disney's phantasmic vision of reality and Zionist Golda Meir who back in 1969 announced that there was “no such thing as a Palestinian people... It is not as if we came and threw them out and took their country. They didn't exist.” [1]

No doubt, Gingrich's/Meir's ‘genius’ visionary idea should be applied to every American war and conflict. I guess that it is just a question of time before Gingrich announces that the Iraqi people are also ‘invented’, like the Palestinians they were also part of the Arab community. They may also have to schlep around and leave their beloved country to America and its Zionist rulers.

In fact Gingrich's approach can be applied to every people in the region and beyond. The Libyans, Syrians, Egyptians, Pakistanis and Afghans are all invented and should look for a new place to accommodate them. But it can also be applied to the current Western financial turmoil and ethical crisis. All we have to do is just to agree that the current crisis is ‘invented’. We should then close our eyes and hope that once we open them again, peace and prosperity would flourish.

In psychological terms Gingrich is subject to a state of denial. Considering Gingrich being a leading Republican candidate for the presidency, we have good reason to believe that if elected to lead America, the United States of America may as well become the United States of Denial.

Putin Accuses US In Murder Of Gaddafi

by AFP
December 15, 2011

Russia's Vladimir Putin implicated Washington today in the killing of Libyan dictator Muammar Gaddafi and launched a tirade against Senator John McCain in an extraordinary attack on US policies.

The Russian premier used his annual televised phone-in to unleash the type of no-holds-barred attack that characterized his 2000-2008 term as president and threatens to shadow his expected return to the Kremlin in March polls.

Turned stone-faced

Putin turned stone-faced when asked about a tweet from McCain—one of Washington's fiercest critics of Putin warning Russia it faced an "Arab spring" revolt over the disputed December 4 parliamentary elections.

"Mr McCain fought in Vietnam. I think that he has enough blood of peaceful citizens on his hands. It must be impossible for him to live without these disgusting scenes anymore," Putin said.

"Who did this?" Putin said in reference to Gaddafi. "Drones, including American ones."

"They attacked his column. Then using the radio through the Special Forces, who should not have been there they brought in the so-called opposition and fighters, and killed him without court or investigation."

The Pentagon immediately dismissed the charge as "ludicrous".

"The assertion that US special operations forces were involved in the killing of Colonel Gaddafi is ludicrous," spokesman Captain John Kirby told AFP on the sidelines of US Defense Secretary Leon Panetta's visit to Iraq.

"We did not have American boots on the ground in the Libya operation. All our support was done through the air and on the seas."

Russia had initially allowed NATO's air campaign in Libya to go ahead by abstaining in a UN Security Council vote. But it then vehemently criticized a campaign that Putin at one stage compared to a Western "crusade".

Syria's Bashar Assad Speaks to Western Press

Syria's President Bashar Assad Sets ABC News Senior Propagandist Barbara Walters Straight.

by Tony Cartalucci
December 11, 2011

Before watching this full 46 minute interview by ABC News with Syrian President Bashar Assad, and the disgraceful behavior of ABC's Barbara Walters it would be useful to note several facts completely dispelling the false premises from which Walters is operating.

1. The UN human rights report on Syria consisted of no evidence, simply interviews of alleged witnesses produced by Syria's opposition and interviewed in Geneva. The report itself was compiled in part by Karen Koning AbuZayd, a director of the US Washington-based corporate think-tank, Middle East Policy Council, that includes Exxon men, CIA agents, US military and government representatives, and even the president of the US-Qatar Business Council, which includes amongst its membership, AlJazeera, Chevron, Exxon, munitions manufacturer Raytheon (who supplied the opening salvos during NATO's operations against Libya), and Boeing.

2. Violence amongst protests was confirmed and documented by even the mainstream press as early as April 2011, where protesters were committing arson on

public buildings including administrative centers and police stations. Reports of snipers attacking protesters and troops simultaneously were also reported. These gunmen are now claimed to be working amongst the "Free Syrian Army". As early as June, hundreds of Syrian troops had already been reported dead.

3. It is confirmed that Libya's Libyan Islamic Fighting Group (LIFG, listed by the US State Department as a foreign terrorist organization, #26) led by Abdulhakim Belhaj is on the Turkey-Syrian border preparing militants to fight the Syrian government with the assistance of Turkey's government. This hardly constitutes a "Syrian uprising," but rather a foreign funded and facilitated invasion by proxy led by NATO and consisting of a very real terrorist threat.

4. Regime change in Syria was a foregone conclusion as early as 1991. General Wesley Clark in a 2007 speech in California relayed a 1991 conversation between himself and then Under Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz. Wolfowitz indicated that America had 5-10 years to clean up old Soviet "client regimes," namely Syria, Iran, and Iraq, before the next super power rose up to challenge western hegemony.

5. The unrest in Syria from the beginning was entirely backed by Western corporate-financier interests and part of a long-planned agenda for region-wide regime change. Syria has been slated for regime change since as early as 1991. In 2002, then US Under Secretary of State John Bolton added Syria to the growing "Axis of Evil". It would be later revealed that Bolton's threats against Syria manifested themselves as covert funding and support for opposition groups inside of Syria spanning both the Bush and Obama administrations.

In an April 2011 CNN article, acting State Department spokesman Mark Toner stated, "We're not working to undermine that [Syrian] government. What we are trying to do in Syria, through our civil society support, is to build the kind of democratic institutions, frankly, that we're trying to do in countries around the globe. What's different, I think, in this situation is that the Syrian government perceives this kind of assistance as a threat to its control over the Syrian people."

Toner's remarks came after the *Washington Post* released cables indicating the US has been funding Syrian opposition groups since at least 2005 and continued until today.

In an April AFP report, Michael Posner, the assistant US Secretary of State for Human Rights and Labor, stated that the "US government has budgeted \$50 million in the last two years to develop new technologies to help activists protect themselves from arrest and prosecution by authoritarian governments". The report went on to explain that the US "organized training sessions for 5,000 activists in different parts of the world. A session held in the Middle East about six weeks ago gathered activists from Tunisia, Egypt, Syria and Lebanon who returned to their countries with the aim of training their colleagues there." Posner would add, "They went back and there's a ripple effect." That ripple effect of course is the "Arab Spring", and in Syria's case, the impetus for the current unrest threatening to unhinge the nation and invite in foreign intervention.

....

With these facts in mind, viewers can fully appreciate

the frothing duplicity, discourtesy, and intellectual depravity displayed by Barbara Walters who "saw pictures," read a fraudulent UN report written by authors with ties to US corporate-financier interests, and listened to an Obama speech and therefore is an expert on the premeditated, US-facilitated chaos sweeping across parts of Syria. And even as she sits in Syria's calm capital of Damascus, invited in by Assad who has been accused of barring foreign reporters (foreign journalist Dr. Webster Tarpley's interview while in Syria can be found here), she still insists the nation is in utter chaos, irrationally closing itself off from the world, and with a population completely turned against the Syrian government.

For the record: Assad's reference (at 33:30) to the 1992 LA Riots and the US using troops to restore order, it should be noted that indeed the US Army and Marines were deployed, and between military and police, they killed 10 during operations to restore order throughout the city. Barbara Walters either out of ignorance or additional duplicity, claims the US didn't kill anyone.

Libya on the verge of chaos and civil war: experts

by Alexander Vatutin
Global Research
December 13, 2011

Voice of Russia

"[I]f Libya falls apart, the US, France, the UK and other intruders will be responsible."

Warnings from many experts are coming true: Libya is being pulled into a civil war. Now anti-Gaddafists are carving up power and Libya's capital of Tripoli is already seeing clashes as the rebels are fighting for the local airport.

Libya...is now on the verge of chaos. Late Saturday, so-called Libyan national guards attempted to seize control over the Tripoli airport and attacked their former allies from the city of Zintan. Their attempt failed but left some people killed and injured. The national guards pledged to try again and were only calmed down by tribal elders.

At the same time, militants attempted to murder Libya's Chief Commander Khalifa Haftar.

Right after Libya's National Transitional Council announced the country's liberation on October 23, Gaddafi's supporters carried out several attacks. But the opposition hasn't clashed with one another till recently. Experts say that they're fighting for the helm. The rebels' common enemy, Gaddafi, is dead and thus they have a new pretext to start showing who is stronger and who'll get access to the country's oil riches.

The current situation is the moment of truth for those who pledged to get rid of the legacy of Gaddafi's 40-year old reign. However, he somehow managed to hold the multi-tribal country together and even simulate some kind of statehood. The rebels brought no new ideology, they're simply carving up the power pie, says expert in Oriental Studies Andrei Volodin:

"They're divided into various factions that have no idea what unity and territorial integrity are. No foreign

country would interfere into the conflict at this stage as the West is too weak. Moreover, this conflict was triggered by the West. Thus, if Libya falls apart, the US, France, the UK and other intruders will be responsible.”

Experts are also skeptical about the NTC powers as it’s quite heterogeneous, comprising both liberals and radical Islamists who pursue their own aims, says Oriental researcher Sergei Demidenko:

“Libya is loose as a state and it will continue to get deeper into economic and political chaos. No democratic elections or Constitution would help as Libya’s society is split and hardly unifiable. As for the tribes, they are entering a new level of hostility and sometimes break all their laws and codes.”

Now experts are mostly negative about Libya’s future and believe that it could break up into parts.

Message of Hope

*One day,
Youngsters will learn words they
will not understand,
Children from India will ask:
“What is hunger?”
Children from Alabama will ask:
“What is racial segregation?”
Children from Hiroshima will
ask: “What is the atomic bomb?”
Children at school will ask:
“What is war?”
You will answer them, you will
tell them: “Those are words not
used any more,
Like ‘stage-coaches’, ‘galleys’ or
‘slavery’,
Words no longer meaningful,
That is why they have been
removed from dictionaries.”*

—Martin Luther King

**CONTACT
PHOENIX JOURNAL REVIEW**

is published by
CONTACT, Inc.

P.O. Box 27800

Las Vegas, NV 89126

Phone: (800) 800 5565; (661) 822-9655

Fax: (661) 822-9655

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Subscription orders may be placed by mail to the above Address or by phone to 1-800-800-5565. Subscribers: Expiration date is above your name on mailing label. Quantity subscriptions: Prices are for U.S. delivery. For foreign quantity-subscription rates based on cost of delivery to your country, please inquire.

SINGLE SUBSCRIPTIONS

QUANTITY SUBSCRIPTIONS

Qty	U.S.	U.S.w/ env.	CAN/ MEX	Foreign	Qty	10 copies	25 copies	50 copies	100 copies
13 issues	\$30	\$40	\$40	\$45	13 issues	\$95	\$125	\$160	\$275
26 issues	\$60	\$80	\$80	\$90	26 issues	\$190	\$250	\$320	\$550
52 issues	\$110	\$150	\$150	\$170	52 issues	\$380	\$500	\$640	\$1100

BACK-ISSUE RATES

Back issues are \$3.00 per copy.

Shipping is included in the price for U.S. orders.

**EVERYTHING YOU NEED FOR TOTAL RECLAMATION
AND RECOVERY OF YOUR PLANET IS PROVIDED FOR
YOUR USE—IF YOU BUT DO “YOUR” JOB.**

—GCH, 1/22/06

PHOENIX JOURNALS LIST

THE FOLLOWING WORKS BY HATONN AND OTHERS OF THE 'HOSTS OF HEAVEN' FORM A SERIES CALLED **THE PHOENIX JOURNALS** AND WERE RECEIVED DURING **PHASE I** OF THIS MISSION THROUGH "dharm" (DORIS EKKER). THE LAST BOOK IS A COMPILATION OF SCHOLARLY PRESENTATIONS BY LONGTIME STUDENT AND RESEARCHER NORA BOYLES. ALL WERE WRITTEN TO ASSIST MANKIND IN BECOMING AWARE OF LONG-STANDING DECEPTIONS AND OTHER MATTERS CRITICAL TO OUR SURVIVAL AS A SPECIES AT THIS TIME.

MANY IN THE SERIES ARE NO LONGER IN PRINT, AND MANY ARE IN SHORT SUPPLY, BUT EACH IS AS TIMELY AND HELPFUL FOR OUR AWAKENING AS WHEN THEY WERE FIRST ISSUED. (MOST ARE FULLY INDEXED AS WELL.) STILL OTHERS HAVE NEVER APPEARED IN PRINT (HENCE THE LARGE GAPS IN NUMBERING). **PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS IS PLEASED TO BE ABLE TO CONTINUE OFFERING THE PHOENIX JOURNALS AT ONLY \$6 EACH, A BARGAIN CONSIDERING THAT THEY AVERAGE 200 PAGES APIECE** (shipping is extra—please call the office for exact rates). **GET THEM NOW WHILE THEY'RE STILL AVAILABLE AND AT THESE INCREDIBLY LOW PRICES!**

2. AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME IMMANUEL—I AM SANANDA
3. SPACE-GATE—THE VEIL REMOVED
4. SPIRAL TO ECONOMIC DISASTER—LIFEBOAT MEASURES, IF YOU ACT NOW
7. THE RAINBOW MASTERS—"THE MAGNIFICENT SEVEN"
9. SATAN'S DRUMMERS—THE SECRET BEAT OF EVIL
10. PRIVACY IN A FISHBOWL—SPIRAL TO ECONOMIC DISASTER, VOL. II
11. CRY OF THE PHOENIX—DEATH RATTLE OF FREEDOM
38. THE DARK CHARADE
39. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE—THE HOLOCAUST, VOL. I
40. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE—THE HOLOCAUST, VOL. II
41. THE DESTRUCTION OF A PLANET—ZIONISM IS RACISM
42. UNHOLY ALLIANCE—PRIESTS, RABBIS AND CONSPIRATORS
43. TANGLED WEBS—"GOTCHA", VOL. I
44. TANGLED WEBS—"GOTCHA" AGAIN, VOL. II
46. TANGLED WEBS, VOL. IV—LOOSEN THE KNOT AND TANGLES
49. SILENT BLOOD SUCKERS OF THE TANGLED WEBS, VOL. VI
50. THROUGH DARKNESS INTO LIGHT—ENDLESS CYCLES OF THE DIVINE PLAN, VOL. I
51. THREADS OF SILK—BANDS OF STEEL: BONDAGE WITHIN THE WEB—TANGLED WEBS, VOL. VII
52. THREADS OF LIGHT AMONG THE STRANDS OF EVIL—TANGLED WEBS, VOL. VIII
53. IF THERE BE "WILL" THERE BE "WAY"—TANGLED WEBS, VOL. IX
54. THE FUNNEL'S NECK
55. MARCHING TO ZION: THE ULTIMATE WORLD ORDER
56. SEX AND THE LOTTERY—"SATAN IS ALIVE AND WELL ON PLANET EARTH!"
57. GOD, TOO, HAS A PLAN 2000!—DIVINE PLAN, VOL. II
58. FROM THE FRYING PAN INTO THE PIT OF FIRE
59. "REALITY" ALSO HAS A DRUMBEAT!
60. AS THE BLOSSOM OPENS
61. PROGRAMMING, PITFALLS AND PUPPY-DOG TALES
62. CHAPARRAL SERENDIPITY or THINGS FROM UNDER THE BUSHES
63. THE BEST OF TIMES; THE WORST OF TIMES
64. TO ALL MY CHILDREN—AS THE WORLD TURNS
66. ULTIMATE PSYCHOPOLITICS, MASS MIND CONTROL & THE GLOBAL CONTROL SYSTEM
67. THE BEAST AT WORK
68. ECSTASY TO AGONY—THROUGH THE PLAN 2000
69. TATTERED PAGES
70. NO THORNLESS ROSES
71. COALESCENCE
72. CANDLELIGHT
73. RELATIVE CONNECTIONS, VOL. I
74. MYSTERIES OF RADIANCE UNFOLDED—RELATIVE CONNECTIONS, VOL. II
75. TRUTH AND CONSEQUENCES—RELATIVE CONNECTIONS, VOL. III
76. SORTING THE PIECES—RELATIVE CONNECTIONS, VOL. IV
77. PLAYERS IN THE GAME—DESTINY OF DOOM!
78. THE IRON TRAP AROUND AMERICA
79. MARCHING TO ZOG! [ZOG = ZIONIST OCCUPATION GOVERNMENT]
80. TRUTH FROM THE "ZOG BOG"
81. RUSSIAN ROULETTE—AMONG OTHER THINGS
82. RETIREMENT RETREATS—OR WHICH CONCENTRATION CAMP DO YOU PREFER?
83. POLITICAL PSYCHOS
84. CHANGING PERSPECTIVES
85. SHOCK THERAPY FOR A BRAIN DEAD WORLD
86. MISSING THE LIFEBOAT??
87. IN GOD'S NAME AWAKEN!
88. THE ADVANCED DEMOLITION LEGION (THE ADL IN ACTION)
89. FOCUS OF DEMONS (REAL GREMLINS IN THE WORKS)
90. TAKING OFF THE BLINDFOLD
92. WALK THE CROOKED ROAD WITH THE CROOKS
93. CRIMINAL POLITBUROS AND OTHER PLAGUES
94. WINGING IT...
95. HEAVE-UP (Phase One)
96. HEAVE-HO (Phase Two)
97. HEAVE-'EM OUT (Phase Three, Part 1)
98. ASCENSION OR NEVER-EVER LAND?—BLUE BEAM FLIGHT SCHOOL
99. USURPERS OF FREEDOM IN CONSPIRACY
100. BUTTERFLIES, MIND CONTROL—THE RAZOR'S EDGE—IT'S ALL IN THE GAME
101. *THE BREATHING DEAD AND CEMENT CHILDREN*
102. SACRED WISDOM
103. CONFRONT THE NOW—CREATE THE FUTURE
104. FIRST STEPS
105. AMERICA IN PERIL—AN UNDERSTATEMENT!
107. RING AROUND THE ROSIE...!
130. TRACKING DOWN THE KILLER
222. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX, VOL. 1
223. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX, VOL. 2
224. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX, VOL. 3
225. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX, VOL. 4
227. RISE OF ANTICHRIST, VOL. 1
228. RISE OF ANTICHRIST, VOL. 2
229. RISE OF ANTICHRIST, VOL. 3
230. RISE OF ANTICHRIST, VOL. 4
- GOA THE GARDEN OF ATON, VOL. I, by Nora Boyles

SUBSCRIPTION RATES
and
PHOENIX JOURNALS:

1-800-800-5565

TO OUR SUBSCRIBERS:

Your subscription expiration
is shown above your name
as "Vol. __, Issue __" through
which you are presently paid.
(Please call office if unclear.)

Editorial Policy

Opinions of the *CONTACT* contributors
are their own and do not necessarily reflect
those of the *CONTACT* staff or management.

*CONTACT—
THE PHOENIX EDUCATOR:
PHASE II*

is published by
CONTACT, INC.
P.O. BOX 27800

Las Vegas, NV 89126
Phone: (800) 800-5565

Website: www.Phoenixmaterials.org

Be at war with your
vices, at peace with
your neighbors, and
let every New Year find
you a better man.

—Benjamin Franklin